



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_51449
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Relationship between Government Expenditure on Economic and Social Service in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title not well constructed, kindly revise. 2. No research gap identified 3. Study not justified 4. Poor in-text citation 5. No theoretical support 6. Literature too old <p>See the body of the study for further comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The title have been revised (2) There is a research gap in the study (see the last sentence in red in p.8) (3) Citations now written correctly (4) The study now have a theoretical background (see section 2) (5) About 12 recent literature have been add to the reviewed literatures.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Major review required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	