



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_51261
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the physico-chemical and antioxidant activity properties of attieke flour deshydrate enriched with cashew kernel (Anacardium Occidentale L.) and moringa (moringa oleifera L.) powders
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comments	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the introduction the desired end product from this composite flour should be explained. The observed composite flour parameters must be adjusted to the final product quality parameters. For example, if the desired end product is bread or biscuits then baking parameters are added, and something like that.	The comments have been taken into account, but it should be noted that attiéké flour has been enriched with cashew kernel and moringa powders to meet the standards of infant flours.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The paper investigates the quality characteristics attieke flour dehydrate enriched with cashew kernel and moringa powders. The content of the paper is in line with the journal scope. No doubt about that. However, in my point of view, the paper does need some revisions and explanations before publication.	The comments have been taken into account.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	