SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_50769**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Influence of Kraal Manure, Chicken Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth, Yield and Post-harvest Quality of Pepper (Capsicum annuum l.) in a Sub-tropical Environment**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is important to have all the treatments stated in the Materials and Methods section. It is only in the Discussions section that chicken manure applied at 40 t/ha and the kraal manure at 60t/ha. For the inorganic fertilizer it said the recommendation rate of 370 kg/ha, but in the introduction section the cited reference said 250 kg/ha 15-15-15. What the formulation of the fertilizer used for study to 2:3:2 (37). The calculation for the rate per pot must be presented.	All modifications done
Minor REVISION comments	All the discussion of the results did not consider the control treatment, why? Particularly the fruit decay is least with the control. Please see other comments in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The study gave important information on the use of fertilizer to pepper growers. However, the study was conducted with pots which production conditions are different from field conditions. The author(s) reported that "Recently large scale production of pepper for export has been undertaken under irrigation in Southern Africa (Norman, 1992)". The study was conducted using pots (not a field study) one season and in a single site, not repeated in space (in other locations) and in time (other season), and in the Materials and Methods section you did not give indication on how representative is the site (soil conditions) and how representative of the season (climate) for pepper production conditions, draw recommendation from this study will be to too pretentious. The recommendation have to consider the availability and cost of the source of fertilizer.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	