



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50863
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of garlic supplementation in the diets of cockerel chicks on performance and economy of production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The research work is excellent and has a good economical effect. However, throughout the whole sections of the manuscript it needs some revision. The abstract needs to be more informative and concise showing the most important points, the introduction needs to be updated with recent references, the method needs to be detailed with references as possible as you can, the results should give clear explanation and to the point describing the tables or figures in details, and the discussion must made good argumentation of the results obtained with most recent and relevant work published recently.	Thank you  We have modified the paper
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please adhere to the journal guidelines	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Needs to revise the English writing of the paper.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	