



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_51331
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability and diversity analysis in pod and seed characters of some neglected and underutilized legumes (NULs).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Be careful with your typo. 2. In your PCA based on my experience, there are 3 PC because of your eigenvalue > 1. Most of them are in PC1 except Days to pod maturity and number of pod per peduncle (PC3), Number of locules per pod and number of seeds per pod (PC2) If you choose 2 PC around 72%, you must recalculate again based on this configuration. I don't think that it was 6 clusters. Please check it back. 3. How can you cluster it into 6 clusters/groups? Which is method did you use? 4. If you want to classify clearly among the observation, please do it with discriminant analysis. 5. Please try it again your calculation. 6. Please change your old references with the new one. 	The paper has been modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Could be published after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	