



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_49933</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SERUM ELECTROLYTES AND RED BLOOD CELL MEMBRANE POTENTIAL OF HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN OWERRI METROPOLIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. If this theme is NOT area-specific, please delete the name of the city from the title.</li><li>2. Describe the number simply as 50 and not fifty (50) when the number is not at the beginning of the sentence. "against control" is wrong expression. It should be "than the control" or "compared with the control".</li><li>3. Introduction: the first paragraph should be deleted or extensively reduced. Every reader knows this: what is hypertension. Do not write "common knowledge". Delete some references that appear in this paragraph.</li><li>4. Introduction. Completely change. You should write here "why you here targeted membrane potential of red cell and electrolytes in hypertensive patients". This is the core and the very point that you should write here. No need to write "HT general".</li><li>5. If the geographic DOES have some association with the present study theme, then, some information (map) may be needed. But if not, delete such a geographic explanation. Simply Nigeria is OK. What do you feel if you look at the paper in which Indian map/geography is extensively explained? Consider the readers' point of view.</li><li>6. Line 152 around. Please refrain from describing data "TWICE" both in tables and the text. The same holds true to line 192 around.</li><li>7. Results and Conclusion should be separate.</li><li>8. Please describe definitely whether 1) this study only reconfirmed the preexisting data, or 2) you found something new (that had never been demonstrated). If 1), please state so. If 2), state it in one, two, three manner.</li><li>9. Conclusion; You state, "Changes in the red cell K<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations as well as K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> membrane potential may be used as markers in the assessment of hypertension, monitoring of treatment and diseases prognosis.": This can never be concluded because you did not study it at all.</li></ol> <p>References: Some have issue number and others not.</p>	<p>All corrections have been done adequately</p> <p>Correction made as suggested by the reviewer</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Conclusion restructured</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	