



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_51363
Title of the Manuscript:	Trade Openness and Economic Growth of Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is not a bad paper but it needs some improvements before it can be published:</p> <p>(i) The author should comment on the normality of the data;</p> <p>(ii) The author should acknowledge the extensive literature arguing that excessive trade openness at an early stage of economic development can be ruinous to domestic firms;</p> <p>(iii) The claim for contribution to academic knowledge should be strengthened;</p> <p>(iv) The conclusion should include the research imitations and suggestions for future research;</p> <p>(v) The recommendations section should be reconsidered since at least some of them are not supported by the research.</p>	<p>(i) The normality of the data already taken care of.</p> <p>(ii) Kindly clarify to us on this acknowledgement issue</p> <p>(iii) Limitation:Section 5, first para,last sentence and suggested Future research: section 5, second para,last sentence</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	