



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_51375
Title of the Manuscript:	MACROECONOMIC POLICIES AND HEALTH STATUS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This study focus on the relationship between macroeconomic policy and health status in Nigeria. But, authors should revised their manuscript to a more organized structure and a more coherent in context. For example, authors review Grossman's theory of health care production (1972) and mention education level as a key input in the production of health outcome. However, authors did not put the country education level or public expenditure of education as an economic factor in the modelling analysis.</p> <p>Some minor errors should be corrected, for example, b1.6% (in last line 3, apge2) may be a error, and #1.27 billion (in line 8, page 3; I have no idea about the # symbol).</p>	<p>We have decided to remove theories of health production under which Grossman's theory belongs from the article, due to time constraint. This is because including public expenditure of education in the modelling analysis will require more time to complete due to data.</p> <p>1.6% in 2000</p> <p>The # symbol represents Nigeria's currency (Naira= #)</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	