SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJORR_51197**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study of Functional outcome of three or four part proximal humerus fracture treated with primary hemiarthroplasty**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Corrections have been done in the Manuscript. Don't use we / our like words with in the research papers. Justification should be mentioned clearly. Methodology part should be written with sub titles as study design, period, area, etc. Subject numbers are not enough to this study. Because, statistical analysis can proceed above 30 or more numbers. So, can't conclude the final decision. Don't write as continuous paragraph in the introduction, methodology, & results part. You have to modify number of paragraphs. Ethical approval, patient consent didn't mention.	Corrections have been done in the revised manuscript Done Corrected accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	References are not enough. More than 50 references are important to maintain the standard of the research paper. If possible. References should be increased. Future suggestions and recommendation should be included.	Done
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	