



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_50941
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Chainsaw Milling Enterprise in Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PI. avoid using abbreviation in your abstract. And please provide the full form of your abbreviation in the first paragraph of every section - 'In Nigeria, though the system is illegal, it is permitted under some regulations, restricted to domestic use only while in other countries it is only permitted for small-scale commercial production.' PI provide evidence in the form of primary reference of the Forest Law or secondary reference as in cited from other works. - 'Therefore, studies like this, tailored to provide and support the dissemination of reliable market information are invaluable and expedient.' Your sentence is vague. PI use simple and concise sentence to describe your study objective. - I don't think Table 1 is relevant to be inserted in your method. Just write 1 or 2 sentences to describe your sampling design and sample size. - Don't put the source of your result i.e. 'Source: Field survey, 2013' as this is your own result and not a secondary data. - Results & Discussion: Please summarise your result. - Section 3.5: Combine all of your results into 1 table and discuss it. - Do you combine your results % discussion sections or not? PI refer to your Section 3 and Section 4 - Conclusion: Have you answer your research objective? 	Corrections have been effected based on the reviewer's comments.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PI check the usage of your comma because it can change the meaning of your sentence. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
--	--------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<i>the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	