**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Zoology |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJRIZ_50563** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **A Survey of Urinary Schistosomiasis among Secondary School Students in Jalingo Town, Jalingo Local Government Area, Taraba State** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **Study area**<br>A map of the study area is more efficient than a long description.<br><br>**Study population:**<br><br>Why did the author choose 200 students?<br>How was the choice of students made by class?<br><br>The tables 1 and 2 must be announced in the text before the third.<br><br>The whole discussion must be rewritten. | The map cannot stand alone without the description. Therefore the description given for the study area suffices.<br><br>The number of students used in the study were those whose parents gave their consent to participate and since the study was done in a school, the students were selected from different classes.<br><br>Tables 1 and 2 were announced in the text before the third (done).<br>The whole discussion has been written (done). |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | There is any comment on the table 5.<br><br>The table 6 is not efficient. | Comment on Table 5 has been included in the manuscript. (done)<br><br>Table 6 has been removed from the manuscript. |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |