SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
The purpose and bacground of the paper is good.	
However, statistical methodology appears wrong. I could not understand how the authors gain their results baed on Z test.	The Statistical methodology have been deleted based on the fact that author observed that the statistical tool used was wrong
Z test proceeds as follows.	
 calculate the mean value of the sample as x calculate the mean value of the population as u calculate the SD (Standard deviation) of the population (sample) as s calculate the SE (Standard error) as s/√n, where n is the size of the samlple Z value = (x - u)/SE if the z value is larger than 1.96, null hypothesis could be rejected by the propability p < 0.05 	
see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Z-test The authors should explain how they gained the z value in Table 6.4 - 6.6	
Minor comments	
Line 33. Reseach hypothesis -> Null hypothesis Line 173. table 4.6 -> table 6.6	Noted

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)