SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_50834**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Physiological and biochemical analyses of sorghum varieties reveal differential responses to salinity stress**
Type of the Article	**Original research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The manuscript do not indicate novelty in the research area. • The text have some mistakes in standardization of writing, such as type of font, different font size. • The references are not standardized. • The citations are not standardized. • Different space between lines. • Figures is good, but which letter corresponding to which photo? • Although the idea of manuscript is simple, it is interesting investigate different genotypes in the region. • Which specie of *Sorghum*? Please, inform. • Few varieties. • Experimental design is poor.	• We believe the manuscript shows varietal differences in physiological and biochemical responses to salinity stress in sorghum, which has never been done before • Texts with mistakes have been rephased. • We had standardised the referencing style to APA • We had standardised the all citations
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her*

		feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20