



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>There are some studies suggested by one of the reviewers, but these studies were not included and discussed in the current work. I will suggest a minor revision of the current version to provide more explanation about why these studies were not included. Would the species relativity probability have obvious effects on the results presented in this work? If not, please talk about why.</p>	<p>The species relativity probability with regards to sapwood and heartwood dust will have very little or no effect on the results presented in this work. This is mainly due to the fact that this study was limited to dry mass analysis and as such the obtained saw dust were dried and pre-treated before analysis thus eliminating such effects. It is important to note however that based on the large mean age of the wood species studied, the saw dust obtained were predominantly heartwood. (this final sentence has been included in the work – line 71).</p>