



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_51116
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CONSUMPTION OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA AND AZADIRACHTA INIDCA DURING PREGNANCY AND LACTATION ON BODY WEIGHT CHANGES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Title – Effect of.....Changes in Rats. Include it since the study was conducted using rats. Abstract – Combine all the paragraphs and make it as only one paragraph. How the dose was selected for the present study? Acute toxicity studies have been performed for the determination of LD₅₀? If yes, include the details in the manuscript. If it is based on the previous study, mention in the materials and methods. There are many Tables in the manuscript. Convert at least 2-3 Tables into bar diagrams. Discussion and Conclusion – Already conclusion was written separately in the manuscript. References - Not in uniform format. There is a difference in the format from one to another reference (Journal name format, Example 12 and 13). <p>Apart from that, well written manuscript and to be accepted with minor corrections that are mentioned above. Also spell/Grammar checked before accepting for publication.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Done. Done. The selection of the doses was based on previous study as referenced in the study (references 17 and 18). Done. Done. Done.
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in the study since the study was approved by the ethical committee of our institution..