



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I had gone through the manuscript. Author had implemented the required changes yet a few queries needs to be clarified before publication.</p> <p>(a) My 3rd comment before revision was " The authors had not mentioned details of the instruments used in the study" and the author's reply is "Since the methods were not detailed in the text, authors did not see the need to give details of the instruments used as this are available in the references to the methods employed. Nevertheless indications as to the equipment used were presented in the determination of mineral and vitamins."</p> <p>My point of view was to mention the make, model and other specifications of the instruments used in the study such as HPLC, AAS, spectrophotometer, as these details are not provided in the reference methods.</p> <p>(b) Add short detail of Pearson (21) method as this is not a reference method for vitamin estimation. Delete "some" from the heading 2.2.4</p> <p>(c) As the authors are mentioning that they analyzed the data using Anova test. Mention one way Anova or Two way Anova and in the whole manuscript a single data is not presented with \pm SEM. Provide data with \pm SEM where ever it is written in mg/100g format.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done both in text and figures. However for the ranges this was omitted as it made the data very cluttered and difficult to discern.</p>