



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_50836
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Use Efficiency of Maize Genotypes of Different Maturity Groups at Seedling and Grain-filling Growth Stages in a Rainforest Location
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Some suggestions were made. However, it is emphasized that the text on the item Results should be improved. Authors should summarize the most important variables that explained the objective of the research. And no, put all possible results and correlations obtained in the search. This will make the text more attractive to the reader. Also, there are long paragraphs in which the authors put in several sentences and ideas without the use of a "full stop".	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The suggestions have been effected in the manuscript as pointed out. 2. The correlation tables have been revised to include only the water use efficiency traits with yield as stated in the objectives. 3. The concerns of long paragraphs have also been adjusted as suggested
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	