



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_51198
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on microbial surfactants: production, classifications, properties and characterization
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	All technical terms should be written in full first time they are used and abbreviated thereafter to make the paper reader friendly.	Line 22: spectrometry separated from screening techniques with a semicolon as required in keyword presentation. Line 94: Sentence corrected to "Nwachi <i>et al.</i> [44] used glucose as the sole carbon source.... Response to reviewer 2 Line 13: CTAB written in full in the abstract Line 16: "had" changed to "have" Line 53: "availability of" inserted before the "carbon" Line 89: "had" changed to "have" Line 123: "accompany" replaced with "enhance"

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	