



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_51198
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on microbial surfactants: production, classifications, properties and characterization
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction and Abstract has same first lines. Repetitions are not acceptable There are too many errors in this write-up for example typographical and grammatical errors. Too many shortcuts or abbreviations. Why? Figures and Tables are not properly labelled and do not have keys to show meanings. Reference section is not properly written. How are microbial surfactants produced? The title of your work was not properly attended to. Names of organisms and words are not properly written. Ensure that this write-up follows this journal's guideline.</p>	<p>Response to reviewer 3 First sentence of introduction rephrased to be different from the abstract Abbreviations used in the manuscript are accepted within the confines of the topic reviewed Grammatical and typographical errors corrected Title of table 2 and 5 recaptured and a key provided</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In-text referencing is not properly done Lines 66: tensio-active? I guess a letter is missing Table1: Too many errors in the note section for example Gram-positive, coccobacillus Carefully go your write-up and make it easy to read and understand. What do you mean by BS and so many other abbreviations? Proof-reading of language is vital for this article References for Tables and Figures should be properly written and placed properly</p>	<p>In-text references done properly: Reference number 51, 92, and 93 are now enclosed within square brackets Tensio-active is a popular terminology in biosurfactants used to mean surface active I could not find Gram-positive coccobacillus in the entire draft for correction BS stands for biosurfactant and it is used in other good journals Proofreading was done with Grammarly Reference list has been restructured to make the numbers conspicuous</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	