



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_51250
Title of the Manuscript:	Sickle cell disease in East African countries: Prevalence, complications and management
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>- In ABSTRACT: "and use of Hydroxyurea, antibiotics and blood transfusion are used to prevent complications..." , the verb to use is repeated;</p> <p>- The topic EPIDEMIOLOGY could encompass the worldwide distribution of the disease, as it is present is several continents beyond Africa:</p> <p>LOBO, C. L. C. Sickle cell disease - a serious problem for public health worldwide. Revista Brasileira de Hematologia e Hemoterapia. n. 32, v. 4, p. 280-281, 2010.</p> <p>- Line 107: the verb to report is repeated.</p>	<p>-The issues are fixed in our revised paper, and the verb in the abstract is modified.</p> <p>- Thank you for your comment in this part. We only design this mini review to fill the gap of the research in East African region. Therefore, we will consider the worldwide distribution in our global review of Sickle cell disease.</p> <p>-The verb in line 107 is modified.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>SCD is really a public health problem in many countries, with high prevalence and still few resources involved in its management. So, all research that enriches the data to improve this situation is of great importance.</p>	<p>Thank you so much for your comment.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No.