



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47923
Title of the Manuscript:	Use and Characterization of plant species in the composition of urban hedgerows
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Work is relevant because it presents the importance of green areas in improving the quality of life of the urban population, however, can be better explored. The research problem and the practical importance of the research are not clear. What do the results suggest? What can be inferred from the results? What is the real scientific contribution of the work?	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction: The research problem is not clear. Include citations of articles to scientifically base the work. Lack of connection between information and paragraphs, such as: Relation between urban forest and live fences; relationship between shade and live fences. Material and methods: Provide sources for citations with numeric data. Better detail the sample choice and sampling procedure. Results and discussion: Explain why the expectation was lower. Improve the discussion of results.	All corrections have been made as suggested
Optional/General comments	Among the various urban green area options, why were the hedges chosen? Emphasize its importance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	