



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50694
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Substituting Poultry Waste Meal for Maize in the Diet of the African Clariid Catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell 1822) Juveniles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract, the sentence “The proximate analysis of PWM had crude protein value of 9.795, crude fibre 8.700, ash 25.174, moisture 10.794, carbohydrate 44.286 and fat 1.250 respectively” is missing all units after the values</p> <p>The phrase “Capture fisheries was relied upon” should be changed to “Capture fisheries were relied upon”</p> <p>The phrase “or even exceeded point of maximum sustainable yield” should be changed to “or even exceeded the point of maximum sustainable yield”</p> <p>The word “Aquaculture” does not need to be capitalised</p> <p>The word “researches” does not exist. The plural/collective of “research” is also “research”</p> <p>The phrase “For fish culture project” should be changed to “For a successful fish culturing project”</p> <p>The use of a reference as a part of a sentence e.g. “[7] observed” should be avoided and if need be the surname of the first author should be used instead.</p> <p>The word “grinded” does not exist. The past participle of “grind” is “ground”</p> <p>The phrase “grinded to flour using grinding machine” should be changed to “ground to flour using a grinding machine”</p> <p>The abbreviation “poultry waste meal (PWM)” is introduced twice. Remove the second mention</p> <p>Animal welfare approval for this study is not included</p> <p>The phrase “after which Coppens was used to feed it for three days” should be changed to “after which a Coppens feed was used to feed the fish for three days”</p> <p>The abbreviation “NFE” should be described in full</p> <p>The sentence “The poultry waste meal had a crude protein content of 9.795, Fat content of 1.250, Crude fibre of 8.700, moisture content of 10.794, Ash content of 25.174 and Carbohydrate of 44.286” has no units after the values</p> <p>All the values in section 3.2 and 3.3 have no units</p> <p>The sentence “The result of the proximate analysis of PWM in this study showed that it had crude protein level and other nutrients very similar to that of yellow maize” should be changed to “The result of the proximate analysis of PWM in this study showed that it had a crude protein level and other nutrient levels very similar to that of yellow maize”</p> <p>The phrase “Fish farming sector is currently faced” should be changed to “The fish farming sector is currently faced”</p>	All the corrections have been done



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	