



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50823
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal and intra-seasonal changes in major rainfall indicators in the Ivorian cocoa zone in a context of global warming: the case of the Gôh and Lôh-Djiboua regions in west-central Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Examiner les commentaires

	Commentaire de l'examineur	Commentaire de l'auteur (s'il est d'accord avec le réviseur, corriger le manuscrit et mettre en évidence cette partie du manuscrit. Il est obligatoire que les auteurs écrivent leurs commentaires ici)
Commentaires obligatoires sur la RÉVISION	Change the title. - The title said: "...in a context of global warming:...", but the paper don't try about global warming. - Both regions Goh-Djiboa and Loh-Djiboa belong to the west-central region of Cote d'Ivoire. That is explained on Methodology.	Thank you so much for your pertinent contributions. In the new manuscript, we changed the title
Révision mineure commentaires	Some parts of the manuscript must be re-written (please see the manuscript). These are confuse since you mix several ideas.	Thank you very much for your notice We re-wrote these parts in this version
Observations facultatives ou générales	The information is interesting and you can improve the manuscript by writing short sentences to do short paragraphs. In this way its readability and comprehension is better.	Thank you so much for your observations. Now, the sentences and paragraphs are shorter than before. We sure that the comprehension of this version is better

PART 2:

	Reviewer's commenTt	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Y a-t-il des questions éthiques dans ce manuscrit ?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	