



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_51345
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational noise and vibration assessment in forest harvesting equipment in northeastern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Spacing for each paragraph shall be the same. (Example, 2.2 Rated Equipment)	The corrections were made.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	Suggestion on improvement. As the performance of each equipment is almost the same (in the same class), author may use a more sensitive performance measurement (table 1) or add in a "more sensitive performance measurement can be developed to further analyse the noise".	Table 1 shows the tolerance limits for noise stipulated by Brazilian law. Thus, it is understood that there is no other way to measure performance.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	