



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_51083
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of Stand-Alone Solar-Wind-hydro based Hybrid Power System: Case of rural village in Malawi.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Page-4, 2nd para--Agarkar & Barve (2011) --- check style of writing reference Page-9, 2nd para -- Wiemann et al. (2014)- --- check style of writing reference Page-9, 3rd para-- Zalengera (2015), Ref [23]--- check style of writing reference Page-10, 1st para-- Zalengera (2015), Ref [23]--- --- check style of writing reference etc...</p> <p>References 23,25,26 and27 are not used in the text of the paper, if they are extra authors can delete them form the list. If they are useful, pl use them in text.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> References have been rectified according to IEEE format. All missing references were not in the text due to referencing style/ format which has been rectified according to IEEE format.
Optional/General comments	<p>Congratulate the authors for the good analysis. The concern is only about the data they have used for the analysis is very old. But a useful work.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The equipment in this river is no longer working hence relied on old data.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No