



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_45709
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on fumonisins
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Fumonisin are dangerous mycotoxins for human and animals health. Their study is bringing more data in order to legislate their limits in food and feed. In my opinion the manuscript cannot be published in its present form.</p> <p>After introduction, next section should be Occurrence, then Metabolism and mechanisms, after it should be placed Toxicity, followed by Dietary intake and finally Detoxification.</p> <p>Bibliography should be updated, references must be from the last 5-10 years, no more, in order to be useful nowadays, as there are other reviews already published about the topic. As for example: Schertz, H., Dänicke, S., Frahm, J., Schatzmayr, D., Dohnal, I., Bichl, G., ... & Kluess, J. (2018). Biomarker Evaluation and Toxic Effects of an Acute Oral and Systemic Fumonisin Exposure of Pigs with a Special Focus on Dietary Fumonisin Esterase Supplementation. <i>Toxins</i>, 10(7), 296.</p>	Corrections done.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In all tables, add another column with the corresponding reference. In Table 1, change "some" for "in vivo" in the title.</p> <p>Line 50, correct have. Lines 50 and 58, change the beginning of the sentence.</p> <p>Line 127, change create for a better term, as provoked or triggered.</p> <p>Line 172, use italics in <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in situ</i>.</p> <p>Line 219, correct urgent.</p> <p>References in the last section must be corrected.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>