



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_45709
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on fumonisins
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Check grammar through the text. Some lines that appear to be incomplete. Sometimes, the review results a bit literal. For example, [1] suggest something and [2] reports ... But I think author's comments should be done, for example, about measures in different parts of the world. Could be the differences justified? A conclusion is needed, What are the main aspects of this work? Future actions or investigation are needed?	As you command, we checked grammar again through the text and we made several attempts to improve our sentences. We tried to declare our comments about different parts of the manuscript such as the cause of differences in measures, justification of the differences et cetera. We attached the conclusion part to the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Complete MS, sometimes hard to read. I would recommend check grammar and some lines that appear to be incomplete.	We applied our best attempt to complete lines and improve fluent and easiness of manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there are not any ethical issues in this manuscript.