



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_51325
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF BOREHOLE AND HAND DUG WELL WATER IN MICHIKA AND ENVIRONS, ADAMAWA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 9<sup>th</sup> line of abstract, italicize the biological name. Make corrections for <i>E. coli</i>. Make corrections throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>2. Refer to the guideline for citation in the text. In the last sentence of third paragraph of introduction, it should be [11, 12]. Make similar corrections throughout.</li> <li>3. Cite fig. 1 and 2 in the text. Write the captions in identical format.</li> <li>4. Elaborate discussion part. Compare the study results with the similar studies.</li> <li>5. Refer to the guideline for referencing. Use uniformity with the fonts and all.</li> </ol>	<p>All corrections were effected</p> <p><b>All corrections were effected</b></p> <p><b>All corrections were effected</b> <b>All corrections were effected</b></p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the abstract, elaborate 'MPH'</li> <li>2. In the third line of section Physico-Chemical Analysis, use 'to' for 'up'.</li> <li>3. In the eighth line of section Determination of Heavy metals, use its for it.</li> <li>4. In the section Bacteriological Analysis, it should be plate for late in the 1<sup>st</sup> line and enumeration for enumerated in the second line.</li> <li>5. The topic should be 'Results and Discussion'.</li> <li>6. In the sixth line of Results and Discussion, use in for i.</li> <li>7. Use were for are in the seventh line of first paragraph, fifth line of third paragraph, tenth line of fourth paragraph, third line of ninth paragraph and in the second line of twelfth paragraph of Results and Discussion</li> <li>8. In the fourth line of fourth paragraph of Results and Discussion, use lowercase l in mg/l. Make similar corrections throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>9. In the last sentence of fourth paragraph of Results and Discussion, do not use etc.</li> <li>10. Rephrase the first sentence of fifth paragraph and second last sentence of sixth paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>11. Start sentence with uppercase letter. Manage the second sentence of eighth paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>12. Use comma after NAFDAC, in the third line of tenth paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>13. Use had for has in the fourth line of tenth paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>14. Use its for it in the fifth line of tenth paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>15. Use was for is in the second and fourth line of eleventh paragraph of Results and Discussion.</li> <li>16. Single sentence cannot be a paragraph. Manage the thirteenth paragraph.</li> <li>17. In the second line of fourteenth paragraph of Results and Discussion, use coliform combined.</li> </ol>	<p><b>All corrections were effected</b></p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	