



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_47735
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of structural defects between optic disc and ganglion cell complex in patients with glaucoma
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Title, the type of glaucoma patients assessed should be specified- if Normal Tension, POAG etc 2. Line 14: The spelling of 'optic disc' should be consistent. Delete 'optic disk' and in other aspects of the manuscript. 3. Line 26: Should read 'there is no significant difference between HRT and sdOCT' and not 'there is no significance'. This should also be corrected in the Conclusion in the body of the article. 4. Keywords should be written in alphabetical order 5. Line 66- Should read; - The evolution of OCT technology from time domain to 6. Line 171- pre-perimetric. 7. Line 172: recast the statement to make for better clarity, avoiding the word 'repetitivity' 	The author have corrected as per the suggestions of the reviewer
Optional/General comments	Interesting research and adds to the knowledge in the management of glaucoma	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	