



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_OR_51298 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Endophthalmitis : 5 yearsExperience in a tertiary eye care center (ou) in The Mohammed V Military Medical Training Hospital |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | 1. Abstract should be structured which should be restricted to 250 words. 2. Abstract and introduction contains similar sentences in the article which shows that the article is carelessly written. 3. Grammatical mistakes should be corrected. 4. References should follow Vancouver's method of citation. | Abstract is made of 162 words . Several changes have been made to both abstract and introduction. Grammatical mistakes have been corrected. Vancouver's method of citation have been updated. |
| Minor REVISION comments | NIL | |
| Optional/General comments | NIL | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | NO ethical issues are present in this manuscript. |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>