



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_50776
Title of the Manuscript:	An Over View of Dermatophytosis in Camels
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is not properly written in various subheadings and results and discussion is very important to substantiate research work, when the manuscript is a research article. Besides if it is a case study review it should be mentioned clearly in the title.	The work should be presented in a proper style, as Research article. Further the total work is based on references and previous work. The conclusion is insignificant.	This review article so it base on previous study. In the abstract we mentioned that it is review article
Minor REVISION comments	The numbers of figures or photographs are not quoted or cited in written text.		The figures are cited in the text at the end of each paragraph as for example in clinical features, direct examination ect.
Optional/General comments	Further the references cited also should follow APA Citation and should be written in alphabetical order than numerical order		I followed the instruction of author in writing references

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	