

**PRODUCTIVITY (VOLUME) ESTIMATES OF TEAK (*Tectona grandis* Linn F.) IN
KANYA FOREST PLANTATION, KEBBI STATE, NIGERIA**

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in order to estimate volume growth of Teak (*Tectona grandis*) in Kanya Forest Plantation, Nigeria. The data used were obtained from six different age classes (A=38, B=37, C=36, D=35, E=34, F=28, years). Five sample plots each were selected across all age classes, variables measured include total height, diameter at the base, middle, top, and diameter at the breast height were taken from 30 temporary sampled plots of 25x25m approximately from the center, 180 dominant trees were selected from 712 trees. Basal area and volume of sampled trees were computed. Yield values obtained from the dominant trees are (B=249.312m³/ha, D=196.128m³/ha, F=134.976m³/ha, C=119.328m³/ha, E=100.320m³/ ha and A=86.976m³/ha). The results showed that B was the best and A was the poorest. Tree variables showed positive correlation with most of the tree growth and yield characteristics but negative correlation exist between age and some parameters.

Key words: Volume, Site index, Site productivity, Basal area and DBH

1. INTRODUCTION

Forest stand productivity is largely defined as site quality which expresses the growth potential of the species, and it is influenced mainly by forest soils [1, 2]. According to [3, 1] Site productivity is a quantitative estimate of the potentials of a given site to produce wood/timber or biomass for a particular species. For instance, site index (SI) or height of the specific population of the dominant and co-dominant trees at reference age is a widely accepted measure of site productivity in forestry [4]. In forestry, site productivity emphasizes the timber or biomass production capability as a major site indicator for site regardless of its ecosystem concept. The concept of site classification has long and rich history in agriculture and forestry. Alternative approaches have been developed for productivity site, depending on the intended purpose. For instance, plant communities or even attribute of single plants have been used as relative indicators of productivity potentials of an ecosystem sometimes refers to as “phytometers”. Site index is an important proxy of site quality and has been used in many conceptual and simulation models of ecosystem dynamics.

Continuous depletion of forest resources in Nigeria is on the increase as a result of high demand of wood and wood products, this result in a situation where the resources can no longer meet

34 current demands and the future needs of the teaming population. Consequently, there has been a
35 shift from tropical natural forest management to management of plantation of mainly exotic
36 species in Nigeria [5]. Sustainable forest management require information on the growing stock,
37 such information serves as a guide to the forest managers for evaluating and allocating forest
38 area for exploitation. In timber production, estimations of the growing stock are often expressed
39 in terms of volume, which can be estimated from easily measurable dimensions of the tree [6, 7].
40 In current forest research, the requirement to encompass this new paradigm involves an
41 increasing need for precise estimate of forest structure and biomass, potential productivity or
42 forest growth [8] and modeling on different scales from stand to landscape level. In this regard, a
43 deep knowledge of forest productivity of the state is essential to develop forestry and land use
44 plan and policies [9]. The main objective of this study estimates the volume production of Teak
45 in Kanya Forest Plantation and specifically to determine basal area, volume growth in relation to
46 specific sites and to establish relationships between tree measurable parameters and stand age.

47 **2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

48 **2.1 The Study Area**

49 The study was conducted in Kanya Forest Plantation in Danko Wasagu Local Government,
50 Kebbi State is located on Latitude 11.339°N to 11.348° and Longitude 5.606°E to 5.641°E ,
51 occupying about $4,208\text{km}^2$. It is bordered in the South by Sakaba Local Government, in the West
52 by Zuru Local Government both in Kebbi State and in the North by Bukkuyum Local
53 Government Area of Zamfara State. Danko Wasagu has an estimated population of about
54 265,271 people [10]. The vegetation falls under Northern Guinea Savannah. The topography is
55 said to be flat or low land with fertile soil covered by sandy soils, sometime coarse in texture
56 with fadama and alluvial plain suitable for agricultural activities. The weather is marked by
57 single rainy season and long dry season; the average rainfall is 720mm, the rainy season is about
58 four to five months, the mean temperature ranges from 31°C and 38°C . From the month of
59 November to February cold weather is usually experienced due to the dry harmattan wind and
60 from March to May, the weather is generally hot and wet as in the tropics [11].

61 **2.2 Sampling Procedure**

62 The area was stratified in to different age classes based on the years of establishment (1979,
63 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, and 1989) on which five temporary sample plots of 25 x 25m

64 (0.0625ha) were marked at random from each age block close to the center. Measurements were
65 taken on all trees within the selected plots. Stand age was obtained from plantation records.

66 **2.3 Data Collection**

67 The data obtained include:

68 Counting and recording of individual trees per plot, Measuring the total height of six dominant
69 trees in all selected plots using Haga Altimeter (this represented the 100 largest trees per ha),
70 Diameter at breast height (DBH) of all individual trees was measured at 1.3m above ground
71 level. Flexible measuring tape was used to determine the circumference of the boles, Diameters
72 at three different points (Base, middle, Top) were determined with the aid of Spiegel Relascope.

73 **2.4 Data Computations and Analysis**

74 The data collected were organized and screened for analysis. Descriptive statistics was used to
75 summarize and group data into different diameter and height classes, basal area computation and
76 volume estimation were achieved using MS-excel, correlation analysis was achieved using SPSS
77 statistical package.

78 **2.5 Basal area computation**

79 The basal area for each sampled tree was determined using the formula suggested by [6]

$$80 \quad BA = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} \quad (1)$$

81 Where: BA = Basal area in m², D = Diameter at breast height (m), π= Pi (3.142)

82 Basal area per plot was obtained by adding the basal area of all individual trees within the plot.
83 Basal area per hectare for each age series was determined by first summing the basal areas of the
84 30 sample plots selected from the age series and finding their mean, then multiplying the mean
85 basal area per plot by the number of sample plots per hectare which is 16.

86 **2.5 Volume estimation**

87 The stem volume of each mean tree was estimated using the Newton's formula [6]. The formula
88 is expressed as:

$$89 \quad V = \pi h \left(\frac{D_b^2 + 4D_m^2 + D_t^2}{24} \right) \quad (2)$$

90 Where: V = Stem volume in (m³), D_b = Diameter (m) at the base of the tree, D_m = Diameter (m)
91 at the middle of the tree, D_t = Diameter (m) at the top of the tree, H = Total height of the tree (m).

92 **3.0 RESULTS**

93 **3.1 Growth and Yield Variables**

94 The data collected include all the individual trees (712) measured from 30 plots selected at
 95 random. The parameters computed are summarized and presented in Table 1. In the summary,
 96 the mean, minimum, maximum values together with standard error and standard deviation are
 97 also presented in order to see the data distribution pattern.

Table 1: Growth and Yield Characteristics/Variables

Variables	Min	Max	Mean	SEM	SD
Db(cm)	6.1	55.7	27.8	0.24	6.44
Dbh(cm)	5.6	48.1	22.8	0.19	5.19
Dm(cm)	7.5	45.0	20.5	0.19	5.08
Dt(cm)	5.0	35.0	14.9	0.18	4.72
H(m)	4.85	28.25	12.96	0.23	3.89
BA(m ²)	0.01	0.94	0.25	0.01	0.17
V(m ³)	0.060	5.190	0.716	0.024	0.651

98 Note: Db = Diameter ant the base; Dbh = Diameter at the breast height; Dm = Diameter at the middle; Dt = Diameter at the top;
 99 H = Height; BA = Basal area and V = Volume; Min = Minimum; Max = Maximum; SEM = standard error of mean and SD =
 100 Standard deviation

101 The summaries of yield characteristics of 180 sampled dominant trees are presented in Tables 2.
 102 Mean, minimum and maximum values of Basal area and volume are recorded for all the age
 103 series. The standard error of the mean was also attached to all the mean values in order to see
 104 the variability distribution of the sampled data from the population.

105 **Table 2: Summary of yield characteristics of Dominant Trees (Sites Trees)**

AC	P	Trees	Basal Area (m ²)			Volume (m ³)				
			Min	Max	Mean	Mean BA/ha	Min	Max	Mean	Mean volume/ha
A	5	6	0.01	0.11	0.04±0.01	4.29	0.240	0.980	0.906±0.04	86.976
B	5	6	0.03	0.06	0.50±0.03	8.03	0.410	1.310	2.597±0.20	249.312
C	5	6	0.29	1.11	0.11±0.04	10.34	1.260	5.630	1.243±0.18	119.328
D	5	6	0.02	1.11	0.08±0.01	7.20	0.480	5.470	2.043±0.18	196.128
E	5	6	0.03	0.18	0.05±0.01	4.70	1.150	5.300	1.045±0.08	100.320
F	5	6	0.02	0.12	0.14±0.02	13.14	0.580	2.290	1.406±0.08	134.976

106 *Mean± standard error

107 **3.2 Basal Area and Volume Accumulation at Different Dbh Size Classes**

108 Basal area and volume production at different Dbh classes are presented in Table 3. The lowest
 109 and highest basal area were 0.73m² and 174.77m² which was recorded from Dbh class 41-45cm

110 and 46-50cm, respectively. The lowest and highest volume recorded were 4.46m³ and 509.821m³
 111 from Dbh class (41-45cm and 45-50cm) respectively.

Table 3: Basal Area and Volume Accumulation at Different Dbh Size Classes

Dbh Class (cm)	Basal Area(m ²)	Volume (m ³)
05-09	4.01	6.941
10-15	42.08	91.290
16-20	65.46	180.803
21-25	44.64	152.952
26-30	6.51	28.244
31-35	8.30	32.371
36-40	2.79	12.442
41-45	0.73	4.460
46-50	174.77	509.821

112 **3.3 Basal Area and Volume by Height Classes**

113 Basal area and volume growth based on the height classes are presented in Table 4. The lowest
 114 and highest BA values were 2.30 m² and 174.77m², the lowest and highest volume were 13.02m³
 115 and 509.82m³ recorded from 21-24 m and 25-28 m classes, respectively

Table 4: Basal Area and Volume at Different Height Classes

Height Classes(m)	Basal Area(m ²)	Volume (m ³)
05-08	68.81	150.140
09-12	58.08	185.272
13-16	26.54	111,310
17-20	6.81	33.331
21-24	2.30	13.023
25-28	174.77	509.821

116 **3.4 Relationship between variables**

117 Table 5. Shows correlation coefficients between tree variables and age of the plantation in which
 118 the relationships between measured variable/parameters were positive and significant, while the
 119 relationship between the age and some variables showed the negative relationship with exception
 120 of basal area and volume which showed positive correlation.

121

122

123


124

125 **Table 5: Correlation matrix for growth and yield variable of trees in the study area**


	H(m)	DB(cm)	DBH(cm)	DM(cm)	DT(m)	BA(m ²)	VOL.(m ³)	AGE
H(m)	1	0.457**	0.556**	0.606**	0.591**	0.181**	0.529**	-0.188**
DB(cm)	0.457**	1	0.817**	0.734**	0.549**	0.301**	0.520**	-0.150**
DBH(cm)	0.556**	0.817**	1	0.853**	0.683**	0.432**	0.601**	-0.096*
DM(cm)	0.606**	0.734**	0.853**	1	0.765**	0.384**	0.618**	-0.204**
DT(m)	0.591**	0.549**	0.683**	0.765**	1	0.671**	0.790**	-0.167**
BA(m ²)	0.181**	0.301**	0.432**	0.384**	0.671**	1	0.846**	0.141**
VOL.(m ³)	0.529**	0.520**	0.601**	0.618**	0.790**	0.846**	1	0.018**
AGE	-0.188**	-0.150**	-0.096*	-0.204**	-0.167**	0.141**	0.018**	1

126 **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)


127 Volume distribution in the reserve is said to be more concentrated in trees with Dbh ranging
 128 from 12-32cm with the highest volume accumulation recorded between 0.100-1.200m³. The trees
 129 are said to be sparsely distributed when recording increase in Dbh i.e from 32-50cm, volume
 130 production above 32cm ranges from 1.2-5.0 (Fig 1). Figure 2 presents volume distribution in the
 131 reserve based on height and was more concentrated in trees with height ranging from 5.2-20.5m
 132 with the highest volume accumulation recorded between 1.000-2.000 m³. The trees were sparsely
 133 distributed when recoding increase in height i.e. above 20.5m. Figure 3 shows dominant height
 134 distribution and was more concentrated in trees with Dbh ranging from 15-30cm and sparsely
 135 distributed above 30. Figure 4 shows dominant height and volume distribution of dominant trees.
 136 Volumes of dominant trees were found within 0.100-1.200m³ sparsely distributed above 1.200m³



137 **Figure 1: Volume accumulation of measured trees at different Dbh**
 138



139
140 **Figure 2: Volume Accumulation of measures trees at Different Height**



142
143 **Figure 3. Dominant height of 180 sampled trees based on Dbh.**

144 **4.0 DISCUSSION**

145 **4.1 Growth and yield characteristics**

146 Summary statistics of 180 sampled trees (dominant Dbh and height) were presented depicting
 147 low dbh and height values considering the age of the plantation and were as a result of poor
 148 management. Similar research was conducted by [12], when Developing Site Index Curves for
 149 Opepe (*Nauclea didderichii*) Plantation in Southwestern Nigeria who reported slightly higher
 150 values of dominant height as well as Dbh, this could be as a result of variation in the ecosystem
 151 and the species involved. Akindele [13] also constructed similar site index curve for *Tectona*
 152 *grandis* (Teak) in the Dry High Forest Areas of Southwestern Nigeria. The highest dominant

153 height and dbh reported were less than what was obtained in this study, this could be as a result
154 of variation in age of the plantation and difference in location, Dominant stand height is a good
155 predictor of growth, because size is biologically more significant than chronological age as a
156 causal variable, especially in trees, where meristems are constantly renewed [14].

157 The mean basal area/ha reported in this study is lower than that obtained by [15, 16], mean
158 volume/ha obtained in this study is said to be higher than what was obtained by [16] this may be
159 as a result of differences in silvicultural practices, location as well as soil factors in the study
160 area. [17] reported high range of basal area than that obtained in this study. The low basal area
161 was as a result of lack of silvicultural management. The findings revealed that the basal area
162 increases with the increase in age except for the aged teak affected by thinning operations. Many
163 researches on Teak volume were reported by different studies at different age classes, for
164 instance at the age of 16 years the volume reported by [15] is far better than the value reported in
165 this study, this variation might have been influenced by climate variability, rainfall as well as soil
166 fertility of the site. [18] reported 40 years old Teak produced volume less than the value obtained
167 in this research. The appropriate method of quantifying volume of a stand is necessary at
168 different age classes and site because volume differ with location, silvicultural activities, site
169 classes and age. Tree volume provides valuable information on supply of both industrial wood
170 and hence identifying sustainable management of forests and woodland ecosystems [19, 20].
171 Dbh classes 40-45cm, 36-40 and 05-09 recorded lower basal area and volume which could be
172 attributed to fewer number of stems compared to other Dbh classes. In this research, the
173 summation of volume of the second and the third Dbh class was less than that presented by [21]
174 for the same specie which they obtained from similar Dbh class, this might be as a result of
175 climatic variability, site, soil as well as silvicultural operations involved [22] in Northern
176 Thailand reported similar Dbh class which disagreed with this research.

177 **4.2 Relationships between growth variables**

178 Pearson correlation analysis of the stand variables with age revealed that, there was high
179 association between tree characteristics such as diameter at the breast height, height as well as
180 volume. Plantation ages revealed negative relationship with the rest of the variables with the
181 exception of basal area and volume growth which showed positive relationship. There was
182 significant and positive correlation with most of the tree growth and yield characteristics, this
183 coincides with the findings of [23] and [24]. For instance, tree height-DBH, height-volume,

184 DBH-volume and basal area-volume displayed a positive correlation. Also, correlation analysis
185 was observed by [25] in Developing Site Index Equation and Curves for Site Quality Assessment
186 of *Pinus Caribea* Monoculture Plantation in South Western Nigeria. They discovered a high
187 linear relationship between tree age and other growth characteristics such as Dbh, total height,
188 and merchantable height as well as slenderness coefficient, these varies with association
189 displayed by age and other parameters in this research, Dbh, Height, Db, Dm, Dt showed
190 negative relationships and this indicates that as they approached that age (plantation age) these
191 parameters decreases. Appropriate silvicultural treatment such as thinning and pruning be done
192 on regular basis to avoid unnecessary nutrient uptake competition.

193 REFERENCES

- 194 1. Forest site productivity: a review of the evolution of dendrometric concepts for even- aged
195 stands. *Forestry*, 81(1): 13-31.
- 196 2. *Forest measurements. Fifth Edition.* Waveland Press Inc. New York, US.
- 197 3. *Modelling forest growth and yield: Applications to mixed tropical forests.* Wallingford, UK:
198 CAB International.
- 199 4. Testing site index-site-factor relationship for predicting *Pinus contorta* and *Picea engelmannii*
200 _ *P. glauca* productivity in central British Columbia, Canada. *Forest Ecology and*
201 *Management*, 110 (1-3):141- 150.
- 202 5. *Nigerian Forestry Statistics.* Compiled by Khalique-ur-Rahman and Auofor, R.O. Forestry
203 projects monitoring and evaluation unit, Federal Department of Forestry, Ibadan, Nigeria.
204 FOR/MEU/STAT/PUB/NO.2.
- 205 6. Forestry inventory,150-160 in *Forest Mensuration*, 4th Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken,
206 NJ.
- 207 7. Volume Prediction from Stump Diameters of *Gmelina arborea* (Roxb trees in Akure forest
208 reserve, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Forestry*, 33(2): 116-23
- 209 8. Assessing forest productivity at local scales across a native eucalypt forest using a process
210 model, 3PG-SPATIAL. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 152: 275-291.
- 211 9. Modelling forest ecosystems: state of the art, challenges and future directions. *Canadian*
212 *Journal of Forest Research*, 33: 385-397.
- 213 10. *Provisional Census Figure.* Abuja Nigeria. 1-3.
- 214 11. Agro-climatology of Millet Production in Desert Fringe Zone of Nigeria, A Case Study of
215 Kebbi State. M.Sc. dissertation.; Federal University of Technology Minna, Niger state: 1-
216 97.

- 217 12. Site index curves for site quality assessment of *Nauclea diderrichii* monoculture plantations
218 in Omo forest reserve, Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 17(4): 532-542
- 219 13. Akindele, S.O. (1991). Development of a site index equation for teak plantations in south-
220 western Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 4(2): 162–169.
- 221 14. A simple and effective forest stand mortality model. *Mathematical and Computational*
222 *Forestry & Natural-Resource Sciences* 1(1): 1–9.
- 223 15. Volume and biomass estimation models for *Tectona grandis* grown at longuza forest
224 plantation, Tanzania. M.Sc. Dissertation University of Agriculture. Morogoro, Tanzania
- 225 16. Site Quality Assessment for *Tectona grandis* Linn.f Plantations in Gambari Forest Reserve,
226 Nigeria. *Journal of Forestry Research and Management*. 12: 58-67
- 227 17. Prediction of Stand attributes of even aged Teak Stands using multilevel models. *Forest*
228 *Ecology and Management* 236:1–11
- 229 18. Volume distribution for *Tectona grandis* L.f in Kerala. Kerala Research Report.
230 [<http://docs.kfri.res.in/KFRI-RR/KFRI-RR201.pdf>] December, 2012
- 231 19. Tree damage, allometric relationships and aboveground net primary production in a tropical
232 forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 152:73–84.
- 233 20. *Allometric models for prediction of aboveground biomass of single trees in miombo*
234 *woodlands in Tanzania*. The Proceedings of the First Climate Change Impact Adaptation
235 and Mitigation (CCIAM) Programme Scientific Conference, Blue Pearl Hotel, Dar Es
236 Salaam, Tanzania 2nd and 3rd January, 8-17 pp.
- 237 21. Growing stock variation in different teak (*Tectona grandis*) forest stands of Mizoram, India.
238 *Journal of Forestry Research*, 19(3): 204-208.
- 239 22. Decline of Teak Yield in Northern Thailand: Effects of Selective Logging on Forest
240 Structure. *BIOTROCA*, 22(2): 114-118
- 241 23. Nonlinear regression models for timber volume estimation in natural forest ecosystem southwest,
242 Nigeria. *Research Journal of Forestry*, 1(2): 40-54 doi: 103923/rjf. 2007. 40.54
- 243 24. Site Quality Assessment and Allometric Models for Tree Species in Orban Forest, Nigeria.
244 *Journal of Sustainable forestry*, 36(4): 280-289
- 245 25. Development of Site Index Equations for Site Quality Assessment of *Pinus Carribea*
246 Monoculture Plantation in South Western Nigeria. *Journal of Forestry and Environment*
247 *and Environmental Science*, 30(40): 215-321