

EFFECT OF CONSUMPTION OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF *HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA* AND *AZADIRACHTA INDICA* DURING PREGNANCY AND LACTATION ON BODY WEIGHT CHANGES.

RUNNING TITLE: AQUEOUS *HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA*, *AZADIRACHTA INDICA* AND WEIGHT CHANGES

ABSTRACT

Extracts of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* (HS) and *Azadirachta indica* (AI) are widely used in Nigeria for medicinal purposes and have also been shown to affect weight changes anecdotally through mechanisms not yet defined. There are reports of decreased food consumption and weight gain in rats consuming HS extracts as the drinking solutions but there is paucity of data on the effect of these two extracts, administered by gavage, on weight changes during pregnancy and lactation. This study was therefore designed to investigate this in relation to food and fluid intake.

40 pregnant rats weighing 150-200g were used for this study. They were divided into three groups: control, HS and AI groups. HS and AI groups were subdivided into two subgroups of low and high doses. Extract administration was orally by gavage and commenced on day 1 of pregnancy and ended on

22 postnatal day 21. Food and fluid consumption were monitored throughout
23 pregnancy and lactation.

24 The results showed that the aqueous extract of HS and AI increased
25 consumption of food and fluid during pregnancy and lactation, increased
26 maternal weight gain during pregnancy and lactation.

27 From the results of the present study, it can be concluded that consumption of
28 aqueous extracts of HS and AI during pregnancy and lactation increased fluid
29 and food intake and weight gain of dams with a possible potential to accelerate
30 weight loss or decrease postpartum weight retention during lactation.

31 **Keywords:** Hibiscus sabdariffa, Azadirachta indica, pregnancy, lactation,
32 weight changes.

33 **INTRODUCTION**

34 *Hibiscus Sabdariffa* and *Azadinachta indica* are used as medicines and food
35 ingredients in many parts of the world including Nigeria. Both plants are highly
36 sourced as food vegetable particularly because of their health promoting and
37 diseases-preventing properties which is strongly suspected to be due to the
38 presence of many phytochemicals in them¹. These phytochemicals like alkaloid,
39 saponin, glycoside, tannin, phenol, flavonoid, steroid, reducing sugar, nimbidin,
40 sodium nimbidate, nimbin, gedunin, delphinidin 3-sambubioside and
41 protocatechuic acid in the two plants have been found to be protective and

42 preventive against many degenerative diseases and pathological process such
43 as in ageing².

44 *Hibiscus sabdariffa* (family: malvaceae) commonly known as zobo in Nigeria,
45 is an annual herbaceous shrub, cultivated for its flowers, leaves and seeds. It is
46 found in the tropics, subtropics and other parts of the world³ but it is utilized
47 beyond these areas of cultivation globally. In folk medicine this medicinal herb
48 is used for the treatment of hypertension^{4,5}. The plant is also reported to have
49 hepatoprotective, anti-hyperlipidemic, anticancer and antioxidant properties⁶.

50 *Azadirachta indica* which is commonly referred to as Dogonyaro or neem plant
51 is an evergreen robust tree belonging to the family meliaceae. It is mostly
52 found in tropic and sub-tropical areas of the world, African and Asia⁷. The tree
53 occurs in medium to large size and has dark grey bark and a dense rounded row
54 of pinnate leaves⁸. All parts of the neem tree (leaves, flowers, seeds, fruits, roots
55 and bark) are widely used in traditional medicine. *Azadirachta indica* is used
56 for the treatment of many health related problems and also known to exert
57 anticancer, antioxidant, wound-healing and antimicrobial properties⁹. All parts
58 of this plant are useful and has been used to treat diseases ranging from tooth
59 decay, ulcer, swollen liver, malaria and dysentery^{8,10}.

60 Extracts of HS and AI are widely used in Nigeria for medicinal purposes and
61 have also been shown to affect weight changes anecdotally through mechanisms

62 not yet defined. There are reports of decreased food consumption and weight
63 gain in rats consuming HS extracts as the drinking solutions^{11,12} but there is
64 paucity of data on the effect of these two extracts, administered by gavage, on
65 weight changes during pregnancy and lactation. This study was therefore
66 designed to investigate this in relation to food and fluid intake.

67

68 **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

69 **PLANT COLLECTION, IDENTIFICATION AND EXTRACT** 70 **PREPARATION**

71 Matured calyces of HS were purchased from a local market in Enugu and fresh
72 matured leaves of AI were harvested from *Azadirachta indica* tree located in the
73 premises of University of Nigeria, Enugu campus. Both plant samples were
74 identified and authenticated by Mr. Onyeukwu, C.J. of the Department of Plant
75 Science and Biotechnology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka where voucher
76 specimens (numbers UNH No 75f and UNH No. 521^A respectively) were
77 deposited.

78 The extraction procedure used for HS was as described previously¹³. Briefly,
79 30g of the dry petals of HS was brewed in 400ml of boiled tap water for
80 45min. The resulting decoction was filtered and evaporated to dryness giving a
81 dark red paste with percentage extraction yield of 47%. The leaves of AI were

82 washed and air-dried. The dried leaves were homogenized using an electric
83 blender. The powder was exhaustively extracted in distilled water at 60⁰c for
84 48hours using soxhlet extractor according to a previously described method¹⁴.
85 The resulting decoction was also filtered and evaporated to dryness giving a
86 black paste with percentage extraction yield of 19.5%. Both extracts were stored
87 in the refrigerator for preservation until use.

88 **PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS**

89 The standard method of Trease and Evans¹⁵ were used in the analysis of the
90 phytochemical components of calyces of HS and leaves of AI. The qualitative
91 and quantitative phytochemical analyses of the extracts showed the following
92 components in the tables below.

93 **Table 1: The phytochemical analysis of the aqueous extract of *Hibiscus***
94 ***sabdariffa* calyces**

Constituent	Units	Qualitative	Quantitative
Alkaloid	%	+	0.105
Saponin	%	++	1.083
Flavonoid	%	++	26.256
Steroid	mg/l	++	0.3113
Glycoside	mg/l	++	1.5640

Reducing sugar	mg/l	+	17.5
----------------	------	---	------

95 + = Slight; ++ = Moderate

96 **Table 2: The phytochemical analysis of the aqueous extract of *Azadirachta***
 97 ***indica* leaves**

Constituent	Units	Qualitative	Quantitative
Alkaloid	%	++	0.13
Tannin	%	++	1.625
Saponin	%	++	0.297
Flavonoid	%	+	7.289
Phenol	mg/l	+	8.748

98 + = Slight; ++ = Moderate

99

100 **EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS**

101 40 inbred virgin albino rats aged between 10-12 weeks weighing 150–200g with
 102 two consecutive regular 4-day estrus cycles were used for this study. The rats
 103 were housed in cages and acclimatized for 2 weeks and maintained under
 104 standard environmental conditions and were also allowed free access to food
 105 (grower pelleted feed) and water.

106 10 male rats of proven fertility were introduced into the cages in the ratio of 1: 4
107 to allow for mating. Day 1 of pregnancy was taken as the day sperm was seen in
108 the vaginal smear of the rats¹⁶.

109 On day 1 of pregnancy the rats were randomly divided into 3 groups: A, B and
110 C with groups A and B further subdivided into two subgroups of low and high
111 doses. Extract administration also commenced on day 1 of pregnancy and ended
112 on postpartum day 21.

113 **Group A (n=16):** This group was administered *Hibiscus sabdariffa* extract in
114 two doses: low dose (1.5g/Kg body weight) and high dose (3g/Kg body
115 weight)¹⁷.

116 **Group B (n=16):** This group was administered *Azadinachta indica* in two
117 doses also: low dose (200mg/Kg body weight) and high dose (400mg/Kg body
118 weight)¹⁸.

119 **Group C (n=8):** This group was the control group and was administered water.

120

121 **MEASUREMENT OF MATERNAL BODY WEIGHT AND FLUID AND** 122 **FOOD INTAKE**

123 The maternal body weight was measured daily during pregnancy and lactation
124 to determine the effect of the two extracts on body weight of the pregnant rats

125 during the 3 weeks of both pregnancy and lactation. Food and fluid intake were
126 also measured during these periods. These measurements were done using a
127 digital electronic compact balance (S. METTLER, CHINA) and a plastic beaker
128 and recorded to the nearest unit.

129

130 **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

131 The data were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 20.0. Result were
132 expressed as mean \pm standard error of means (SEM) and an analysis of variance
133 followed by a post-hoc Student-Neuman-Keuls' test. $P < 0.05$ was considered
134 statistically significant.

135

136 **RESULTS**

137 **Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and**
138 ***Azadirachta indica* on food intake during pregnancy.**

139 Result showed significant increases in food intake in the low dose and high dose
140 HS and AI groups when compared with control group.

141 **Table 3: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa***
142 **and *Azadirachta indica* on food intake during pregnancy**

Periods	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i>			<i>Azadirachta indica</i>		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
1 st week	20.1±0.19	26.9±1.24 ^{*b}	23.96±0.45 [*]	20.10±0.19	27.48±0.48 [*]	26.64±0.84 [*]
2 nd week	20.16±0.22	22.86±0.57 [*]	24.81±0.77 ^{*p}	20.16±0.22	27.57±0.80 [*]	33.10±0.67 ^{*p}
3 rd week	20.31±0.74	21.74±1.05	27.18±0.52 ^{*p}	20.31±0.74	24.15±0.91	30.54±1.00 ^{*p}

143 * = p <0.05 vs control, p= p<0.05 vs low dose;
 144 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
 145 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)

146 **Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and**
 147 ***Azadirachta indica* on fluid intake during pregnancy**

148 Result showed decrease in fluid intake (p<0.05) in the 1st and 2nd week of
 149 pregnancy but significant increase in the 3rd week was observed only in the
 150 high dose HS. Low dose AI showed no significant difference (p>0.05) in fluid
 151 intake in the 1st and 2nd week of pregnancy when compared with control but
 152 increased significantly in the 3rd week of pregnancy. High dose AI showed
 153 significant increases in the three weeks of lactation in fluid intake when
 154 compared with control.

155 **Table 4: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa***
 156 **and *Azadirachta indica* on fluid intake during pregnancy**

Periods	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> (HS)			<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (AI)		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
1 st week	24.43±0.20	23.61±0.34 [*]	24.26±0.28	24.43±0.20	24.84±0.33	28.9±0.25 ^{*p}

2 nd week	25.57±0.36	24.47±0.16*	24.26±0.19*	25.57±0.36	27.57±0.80*	28.86±0.63 ^{†P}
3 rd week	23.1±0.38	23.78±0.47	25.43±0.20 ^{†P}	23.1±0.38	25.42±0.50*	28.76±0.64 ^{†P}

157 * = P <0.05 vs control, P = p<0.05 vs low dose

158 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)

159 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)

160 **Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and**
 161 ***Azadirachta indica* on maternal weight during pregnancy**

162 The results showed a progressive significant increase in maternal weight gain in
 163 the low and high dose HS groups as pregnancy progressed (Table 5a). These
 164 increases were however lower than those of the control group except at week
 165 one in which case the increases were higher. This suggests that consumption of
 166 aqueous extract of HS during pregnancy decreases pregnancy weight gain.

167 There were also progressive significant increases in maternal weight gain in the
 168 low and high dose AI groups as pregnancy progressed (Table 5b). These
 169 increases were however lower than those of the control group except at week
 170 one in which case there was no difference between the control value and those
 171 of the extracts. This suggests that consumption of aqueous extract of AI during
 172 pregnancy decreases pregnancy weight gain.

173 **Table 5a: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa***
 174 **on maternal weight during pregnancy**

Periods	Maternal absolute weights			Maternal % weight gains		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Baseline	154.52±5.51*	174.81±2.19*	173.76±2.57*			
1 st week	159.09±5.35	182.12±2.18*	182.05±2.58*	3.12±0.46	4.21±0.34*	4.80±0.36*
2 nd week	183.25±6.42	194.68±1.95*	195.88±1.87*	15.08±0.31 ^a	6.96±0.46 ^a	7.81±0.99 ^a
3 rd week	209.31±6.31	214.31±4.90	217.62±2.31 ^p	14.66±1.01 ^a	9.83±1.47 ^p	11.08±0.29 ^p

175 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
176 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)
177 * = P<0.05 vs Control; a = P<0.05 vs 1st week; p = P<0.05 vs 1st and 2nd week
178

179 **Table 5b: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Azadirachta indica***
180 **on maternal weight during pregnancy**

Periods	Maternal absolute weights			Maternal % weight gains		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Baseline	154.52±5.51*	173.48±5.09*	217.10±2.48*			
1 st week	159.09±5.35	185.42±1.20*	223.24±2.22*	3.12±0.46	4.05±0.31	2.89±0.53 ^α
2 nd week	183.25±6.42	199.33±1.07*	234.90±2.51*	15.08±0.31 ^a	7.54±0.48 ^a	5.22±0.41 ^{*αα}
3 rd week	209.31±6.31	215.19±2.37	259.0±2.59 ^p	14.66±1.01 ^a	7.92±0.81 ^a	10.29±0.47 ^{*αp}

183 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
184 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)
185 * = P<0.05 vs Control; a = P<0.05 vs 1st week; p = P<0.05 vs 1st and 2nd week; α = P<0.05 vs Low Dose
186

187 **Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus Sabdariffa* on food**
 188 **intake during lactation**

189 Result showed significant increase in food intake in the low dose HS whereas,
 190 there was a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in the high dose HS when compared
 191 with control. The food consumed by the low dose HS group was also
 192 significantly greater than that of the high dose HS group. In the AI groups, there
 193 was no difference in the amount of food consumed among the groups in the first
 194 two weeks of lactation but the food consumed in the third week in both low and
 195 high dose AI groups were significantly greater than that of the control.

196 **Table 6a: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa***
 197 **and *Azadirachta indica* on food intake during lactation**

Periods	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i>			<i>Azadirachta indica</i>		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
1 st week	25.22 ± 2.34	31.30 ± 2.16*	24.65 ± 1.49 ^P	25.22 ± 2.34	29.84 ± 1.92	27.56 ± 2.38
2 nd week	33.64 ± 2.57	45.08 ± 4.04*	27.27 ± 1.76 ^{*P}	33.64 ± 2.57	36.86 ± 2.21	39.26 ± 3.01
3 rd week	38.53 ± 1.99	49.04 ± 2.86*	32.40 ± 2.27 ^{*P}	38.53 ± 1.99	49.19 ± 2.25*	48.35 ± 3.25*

198 * = $p < 0.05$ vs control, ^P = $p < 0.05$ vs Low Dose
 199 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
 200 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)

201

202 **Table 6b: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus Sabdariffa***
 203 **and *Azadirachta indica* on fluid intake during lactation**

204 The fluid consumption in the low dose HS group was significantly greater than
 205 the fluid consumption in both the control and high dose HS groups. There was
 206 no difference in the fluid consumption between the control and high dose HS
 207 groups. There were progressive increases in the fluid consumption in both low
 208 and high dose AI groups and these fluid consumptions were significantly
 209 greater than that of the control throughout the three weeks of lactation.

Periods	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i>			<i>Azadirachta indica</i>		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
1 st week	26.31 ±1.72	31.29±2.00*	25.19±1.30 ^P	25.81 ±1.57	31.67±1.59*	33.05±2.30*
2 nd week	35.72±2.67	46.14±2.01*	30.33±1.53 ^P	33.47±1.61	41.95±2.52*	49.14±3.10 ^{*P}
3 rd week	41.12±1.38	54.31±2.44*	39.00±2.16 ^P	40.52±2.18	58.76±2.29*	59.29±3.47*

210 * = P <0.05 vs control, ^P = P<0.05 vs low dose
 211 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
 212 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)

213

214 **Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and**
 215 ***Azadirachta indica* on maternal weight during lactation**

216 Results showed no significant difference in maternal weight gain during
 217 lactation in both low dose and high dose HS groups compared with control
 218 group except the 2nd and 3rd week of the high dose group that was significantly
 219 lower than both low dose and control groups (Table 7a). This may suggest that
 220 the high dose HS accelerated weight loss during lactation. For the AI, result

221 showed no significant difference in maternal weight gain among the three
 222 groups during lactation except the low dose group that was significantly lower
 223 than that of the control in the 1st week of lactation (Table 7b).

224 **Table 7a: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffa***
 225 **on maternal weight during lactation**

Periods	Maternal absolute weights			Maternal % weight gains		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Baseline	164.72±6.11	188.38±3.98*	206.91±1.13*			
1 st week	172.43 ±6.42	195.33±4.17*	215.14±1.03*	4.67±0.53	3.69±0.25	4.00±0.27
2 nd week	177.87±6.46	203.90±4.91*	217.81±1.59*	3.32±1.10	4.71±2.04	1.23±0.45 ^{ua}
3 rd week	190.60±7.85	216.86±4.67 ^p	226.10±1.25 ^p	6.90±1.28 ^a	6.54±0.90	3.88±0.77 ^a

226 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
 227 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)
 228 * = P<0.05 vs Control; a = P<0.05 vs 1st week; α = P<0.05 vs Low Dose
 229

230 **Table 7b: Effect of consumption of aqueous extract of *Azadirachta Indica***
 231 **on maternal weight during lactation**

Periods	Maternal absolute weights			Maternal % weight gains		
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Baseline	164.72±6.11	184.14±5.51*	238.62±4.14*			
1 st week	172.43 ±6.42	195.33±4.17*	215.14±1.03*	4.67±0.53	3.68±0.23*	3.97±0.58
2 nd week	177.87±6.46	203.90±4.91*	217.81±1.59*	3.32±1.10	6.59±1.60	3.71±1.20
3 rd week	190.60±7.85	216.86±4.67 ^p	226.10±1.25 ^p	6.90±1.28	7.49±1.45	5.15±0.65

232 Low dose HS (1.5g/kg bwt) and high dose HS (3.0g/kg bwt)
233 Low dose AI (200mg/kg bwt) and high dose AI (400mg/kg bwt)
234 * = P<0.05 vs Control; a = P<0.05 vs 1st week; α = P<0.05 vs Low Dose; p =P<0.05 vs Low Dose
235

236

237 **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

238 ***Hibiscus sabdariffa* and Food and fluid intake during pregnancy and** 239 **lactation**

240 The present study revealed significant increase in food intake in the test group
241 when compared with control and also decrease in fluid intake (p<0.05) in the 1st
242 and 2nd week of pregnancy but significant increase in the 3rd week was observed
243 only in the HS high dose. Earlier reports^{11,17} have shown that the aqueous
244 extract of HS decreases food and fluid intake during pregnancy through a
245 mechanism not yet fully understood. The differences between the findings of
246 the earlier report and the observation of this study could be as a result of the
247 differences in the mode and route of administration and the duration at which
248 the dams received the plant extract. In earlier reports^{11,13,17} the extract was
249 administered as the drinking solution only, but in the present study the extract
250 was administered orally, and water given *ad libitum*. The extract is hypertonic
251 and thus stimulates the sensation of thirst when administered orally. This
252 sensation of thirst may have been responsible for the increase fluid intake

253 observed in the present study. Also, the extract of HS is rich in Na⁺¹⁷ which also
254 increases the sensation of thirst. With increased fluid intake and consequent
255 hydration, there is the abolition of the decreased food intake induced by the
256 dehydration-anorexia following consumption of HS¹⁷. The present study also
257 showed that maternal consumption of aqueous extract of HS during lactation
258 caused significant increase in food intake in the low dose group and significant
259 decrease ($p < 0.05$) in the high dose group when compared with the control
260 group. HS low dose also caused significant increase in fluid intake while the
261 high dose showed no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) when compared with
262 control. The observation from the present study was different from the
263 observation of Iyare and Adegoke¹¹ who reported a reduction in fluid and food
264 intake following administration of the extract during lactation. Again, the
265 differences in the observation may be due to the difference in the mode and
266 route of administration as earlier discussed.

267

268 ***Azadirachta indica* and Food and fluid intake during pregnancy and** 269 **lactation**

270 The present study revealed significant increase in food intake when compared
271 with control. Low dose AI showed no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) of fluid
272 intake in the 1st and 2nd week of pregnancy when compared with control but

273 increased significantly in the 3rd week of pregnancy. AI high dose showed
274 progressive increase in fluid intake when compared with control. It has been
275 noted that if tannin concentration in the diet becomes too high, microbial
276 enzyme activities including cellulose and intestinal digestion may be
277 depressed¹⁹. It is possible that the increased food and fluid intake observed in
278 this study may have been as a result of decreased amount of tannin present in
279 the plant extract used for this study. The basic physiological principles that
280 governs the regulation of nutrient intake appears to be neither the fluctuation of
281 energy level of the body nor energy content of ingested foods but the detection
282 of the degree of depletion and repletion of essential nutrients²⁰. Therefore, it
283 could be concluded that the energy need of the dam during the period of
284 pregnancy necessitated the increased food and fluid intake by the hormones
285 Neuropeptide Y which predominately increased carbohydrate intake in rats by a
286 direct action within the central nervous system²¹.

287 There was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in food intake in the 1st and 2nd
288 week of lactation in the AI low and high doses when compared with control but
289 in the 3rd week there was a significant increase in the AI low and high dose
290 groups when compared with control. Progressive increases in fluid intake was
291 observed as lactation progressed when compared with control. Wang et al.²²
292 found that condensed tannin from *L. corniculatus* increased milk yield secretion
293 rates of protein and lactose thereby increasing efficiency of milk production. It

294 is therefore possible that due to the nutritional requirement of the dam to meet
295 up with milk production for the offspring the tannin in extract affected food and
296 fluid intake which was evident in the increased value of the breast milk
297 creatinocrit noticed in the 3rd week of lactation. Lactating mothers who do
298 not get enough energy and nutrition are at risk of maternal depletion and in
299 other to prevent this enough food must be made available to the mother.
300 Breastfeeding also increases the mother's need for water this may suggest the
301 reason for the increased fluid intake noticed.

302

303 ***Hibiscus sabdariffa* and Weight during pregnancy and lactation**

304 The result from this study showed that there was a significant increase in
305 maternal weight in the low and high dose groups in the 1st and 2nd week of
306 pregnancy but no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in the weights in the 3rd week
307 when compared with the control.

308 Iyare and Adegoke¹³ noted that the plant extract caused decrease fluid and food
309 intake that resulted in decreased pregnancy weight gain amongst the dams
310 that consumed the extract. These variations noticed in this study and that of
311 others^{11,13,17} may possibly be as a result of differences in the method of
312 administration of the plant extract as discussed above. The increase in maternal
313 weight observed in this study during pregnancy may therefore suggest that

314 the dams got more food and fluid during the period of administration which
315 may have resulted in the increased weight gained. The increased weight gain
316 may also have been due to the increased number of developing foetuses as
317 shown by the increased litter size.

318 The present study showed an increase in maternal weight during lactation in the
319 HS treated groups that peaked in the 3rd weeks of lactation when compared
320 with control. The increase in weight could also be as a result of increased food
321 and fluid intake in the HS treated group.

322

323 ***Azadirachta indica* and Weight during pregnancy and lactation**

324 This study revealed significant increase in maternal weight in the A1 400mg
325 treated dams when compared with control while the A1 200mg dams
326 showed significant increase in maternal weight in the 1st and 2nd week but
327 at the 3rd week there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) when
328 compared with control. This significant increase in weight may be as a result
329 of increased intake of food and fluid during the period of pregnancy.

330 The present study also showed an increase in maternal weight during lactation
331 in the AI treated groups that peaked in the 3rd weeks of lactation when
332 compared with control. The increase in weight could be as a result of increase in
333 food and fluid intake in the AI treated group.

334 CONCLUSION

335 From the results of the present study, it can be concluded that consumption of
336 extracts of HS and AI during pregnancy and lactation increases fluid and food
337 intake and weight gain of dams with a possible potential to decrease postpartum
338 weight gain during lactation.

339 REFERENCES

- 340 1. Ijeomah AU, Ugwuona FU, Abdullahi H.: Photochemical Composition and
341 Antioxidant Properties of Hibiscus Sabdariffa and Maringa Oleifera. Nigeria Journal
342 of Agriculture Food and Environment 2012; 8(1): 10-16.
- 343 2. Burns JPT, Gardner D, Mathew GG, Duthie M, Lean E, Crozier A. Extraction of
344 Phenolic and Changes in Antioxidant Activity of Red Wine During vinification,
345 Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2001; 49: 5797-5808.
- 346 3. Dalziel TM. The useful plant of tropical Africa 3rd edition, London: Watmought ltd,
347 Bradford 1973; pp.526-530.
- 348 4. Wang CJ, Wang JM, Lin, WL, Chu, CY, Chou, FP, Tseng, TH. Protective effect of
349 Hibiscus anthocyanins against tert-butyl hydroperoxideinduced hepatic toxicity in
350 rats. Food and Chemical Toxicology 2000; 38(5): 411-416.
- 351 5. Odigie IP, Ettarh RR, Adigun SA. Chronic Administration of Aqueous Hibiscus
352 Sabdariffa Attenuates Hypertension and Reverses Cardiac Hypertrophy in 2k-IC
353 Hypertrophy Rats. J. Ethnopharmacol 2003; 8b (2-3): 181- 185.
- 354 6. Mahadevan N, Shivali Kamboj P. Hibiscus Sabdariffa Linn -An Overview. Indian
355 Journal of Natural Products and Resources (IJNPR). 2009; 8(1): 77-83.
- 356 7. Ejoba R. Phytochemical Constituents of Some Leaves Extract of aloe Vera and
357 Azadirachta Indica Plant Species, Global Advanced Research Journal of
358 Environmental Science and Toxicology 2012; 1 (2): 014-017.
- 359 8. Ogbuewu IP. Physiological Responses of Rabbits Fed Graded Levels of Neem
360 (Azadirachta Indica) Leaf Meal. M.Sc. Thesis, Federal University of Technology,
361 Owerri. 2008.
- 362 9. Hypercyclopedia. Health Benefits of Neem. 2014.
363 www.hypercyclopedia.cm. Retrieved 12th July. 2011
- 364 10. Mossini SA, Oliveira KP, Kimmelmeier, C. Inhibition of Patulin Production by
365 Penicillium Expansum Culture with Neem (Azadirachta Indica) Leaf Extracts. Basic
366 Microbiology 2004; 44:106-113.
- 367 11. Iyare EE, Adegoke OA. Maternal consumption of an aqueous extract of Hibiscus
368 sabdariffa during lactation accelerates postnatal weight and delays onset of puberty in
369 female offspring. Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences 2008; 23(1-2): 89-94.

- 370 12. Ekanem EE, Ituen A, Ezeh CO, Okorie P, Uzoigwe J, Ugwu P, Iyare EE. Effect of
371 consumption of hibiscus sabdariffa and azadirachta indica prenatally and postnatally
372 on milk creatin and early growth of offspring. Afr J Tradit Complement Altern
373 Med 2018; 15 (3): 31-37.
- 374 13. Iyare EE, Adegoke OA. Gestational Outcome in Rats that Consumed Aqueous Extract
375 of Hibiscus Sabdariffa during Pregnancy. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 2011; 10(4),
376 350 - 354.
- 377 14. Biu AA, Yusufu SD, Rabo JJ. Acute toxicity study on neem (azadirachta indica, juss)
378 leaf aqueous extract in chicken (gallus gallu domestius). African scientist 2010; 11(2).
- 379 15. Trease GE, Evans WC. Pharmacognosy. 15th ed. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia.2002;
380 Pp 214-393.
- 381 16. Benie T, Duval J, Thioulant ML. Effect of some Traditional Plant Extracts on Rat
382 Oestrus Cycle Compared with Clomid. Phytother Res 2003; 17:748-51.
- 383
- 384 17. Iyare EE, Adegoke OA. Body mass index at onset of puberty in rats exposed to
385 aqueous extract of Hibiscus sabdariffa in utero. African Journal of Biomedical
386 Research 2008; 11: 203-208.
- 387 18. Iyare EE, Obaji NN. Effects of aqueous leaf extract of azadirachta indica on some
388 haematological parameters and blood glucose level in female rats. Niger J Exp Clin
389 Biosci 2014; 2:54-8.
- 390 19. Aletor VA. Anti-nutritional factors as nature's paradox in food and nutrition
391 securities. Inaugural lecture series 15, delivered at The Federal University of
392 Technology, Akure (FUTA). 2005.
- 393 20. Poothullil JM. Oral satiation and regulation of intake. Physiology and Behavior 1995;
394 57: 349-352.
- 395 21. Morley JE. The role of peptides in appetite regulation across species. American
396 Zoologist 1995; 35: 347-445.
- 397 22. Wang Y, Waghorn GC, McNabb WC, Barry TN, Hedley MJ, Shelton ID. Effect of
398 condensed tannins in Lotus corniculatus upon the digestion of methionin and cysteine
399 in the small intestine of sheep. The Journal of Agricultural Science 1996; 127(03):413
400 - 421.