- Abundance and morphometric study of some Lizards (Agama lizard, Skinks and Wall
 gecko) in the University Community in Nigeria: Obafemi Awolowo University as a case
 study
- 4
- 5
- 6 Abstract

This study investigated the abundance and some morphometric parameters of lizards (A. 7 agama, H. brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) in the University campus, Ile-Ife. The 8 specimens were collected in five different locations in the University campus. The samples 9 10 were collected with sweep nets in all the locations. A total of 624 species of lizards (324 of A. agama, 185 of H. brookii, 60 of T. affinis and 55 of T. quinquetaeniata) were caught in all the 11 locations and external body measurement was carried out with the help of calliper. A. agama 12 was found to be abundant in all the locations followed by *H. brookii*. The number of Skinks 13 (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) in the residential area was low but high in University parks 14 and garden and markets. The morphometric parameters showed that A. agama was different 15 in all the parameters measured compared to the rest specimens and it was expected since the 16 specimens were not of the same family except the Skinks (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*). 17 There were similarity in values of some of the measured body parts of *H. brookii*, *T. affinis* 18 and T. quinquetaeniata. The Principal Components Analysis (PCA) showed that all the 19 specimens differ in Tail length, Tail width, and Trunk length. In conclusion, the abundance of 20 the Lizards in the University call for concern because their waste can contaminate food and 21 cause infection since they are hosts to a number of parasites. 22

23

24 Keywords: Infection, Parasite, Trunk length, Head length

25

26 **1.0 Introduction**

The parasite causing infectious disease which can be commonly found in some lizards livingaround us (1) are of great fear to human existence. Parasites are found in lizards which are

found everywhere in many of the tropical climates of the world. Although, most lizard species are harmless to humans unless provoked (2), but they can cause diseases if they lay eggs or urinate into human food.

In some parts of the world, lizards and other reptiles such as snakes, crocodiles are used for food (3). In Nigeria, the clouded-monitor lizard serves as a source of meat for people who likes games. Clouded-monitor lizard help in insect control in some agricultural areas since they feed on them. In Africa, the lizards commonly found are Agama lizard, Skinks, Gecko, Chameleons, Monitor lizard, Alligator lizard (4).

37 Agama agama was reported to serve as transport and reservoir host to several protozoan and helminth parasites (5). Parasites from most reptiles can be transferred into a human by 38 carefree attitude. Humans can be infected with Raillietiella species, by contaminating their 39 hands and food with the eggs, faeces or saliva of the reptile (6). Gecko (Hemadactylus sp) are 40 41 house lizards commonly found in human dwelling in tropical countries. They are nocturnal lizards which feed mainly on insects and termites (7). Oluwafemi et al. (8) reported the 42 43 presence of Raileteilla frenatus and Paraphayngodon sp in Wall gecko (Hemidactylus freanatus) species caught in Ile-Ife. These parasites can cause diseases for human if ingested 44 into the human system. 45

Skinks of the genus Mabuya is found around the world and it contains about 90 species which are distributed around Sub-sahara Africa (9). Like all vertebrates, skinks are susceptible to parasitism in their niche. *Spirura sp* a nematode was found in skink (*Mabuya quinquetaeniata*) collected in Ile-Ife and Ibadan by Oluwafemi *et al.* (8). In Nigeria, there have been various studies conducted on the parasites of lizards and other reptiles (10, 11 and 12). It is important to study the population of these lizards in our locality to determine the best method to control them and reduce the risk of contamination of our foods.

Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State where the research was conducted was oneof the University with a large number of students in Nigeria. Similar research was conducted

on the bait preference of Black rat (*Rattus rattus*) when the students' hostel was infected by black rat (13). This study was conducted in the University (Obafemi Awolowo University) to determine the most prevalence lizards (A. agama, Skinks and Wall gecko) in various part of the University. This is aimed at controlling the lizards in other to reduce the risk of infection among the University populaces.

60

61 **3.0 Materials and methods**

62 **3.1 Study Location**

This research work was carried out in Obafemi Awolowo University student's hostels, academic area and staff quarters, Ile Ife. The university is located between Latitudes 7°26'N and 7°32'N and between Longitudes 4°31'E and 4°35'E. The landmass is 5506 hectares with an altitude of 300 m above sea level.

67

68 **3.2** Materials

69 3.2.1 Materials used in the research field

Sweep net: For trapping the specimens, Chloroform: To anesthetize the specimens, Killing
jar: Where the specimens are kept immediately after collection, Cotton wool: Placed inside
the killing jar for a smooth landing for the anesthetized specimens. Measuring ruler, Thread,
Rubber gloves, Harvard trip balance, Camera

74

75 **3.2.2 Method of collection**

Agama lizards (Agama agama) and Skinks (*Trachylepis affinis*; *Trachylepis quinquetaeniata*) were caught by using a sweep net to cover them, and they were put inside killing jar containing chloroform. Wall geckos (*Hemidactylus brookii*) were caught in the night using a broom. The broom was used to brush them into the sweep net after which they were transferred into the killing jar containing chloroform. The chloroform was used to anesthetize the specimens until they are taken into the laboratory.

83

3.3 Identification of specimens

The Agama lizard (Agama agama) was identified by the descriptions given by Harris (14). 84 The lizard was said to have a white underside, brown back limbs and a tail with a light stripe 85 86 down the middle. Breeding males of this subspecies have brilliant orange heads, and an indigo blue or black body and legs. Their tail is bluish-white at the base and has an orange 87 middle area and black tail tip. The non-breeding male is paler in colour and might not have 88 89 the orange on the head. Females are brown and have olive green colour on their backs with some barring marks. Wall gecko (Hemidactylus brookii) was identified with some 90 specification given by Bauer et al. (15). The snout of the specimen is longer than the distance 91 between the eve and the ear opening, nearly twice the diameter of the orbit; the forehead is 92 concave. 93

94 Skinks (*Trachylepis affinis*) has a yellow or white venter, not bright blue-green. Skink
95 (*Trachylepis quinquetaeniata*) males have the side of the head yellow and the throat black.
96 Juveniles and females have five white longitudinal stripes. Identification of skink species was
97 done using identification guides by Branch (16) and Spawls *et al.*, (17).

98

99 **3.4 Data collection**

100 The captured specimens (that have been anesthetized) were taken into the laboratory for sex identification and measurement of morphometric parameters. Specimens without sexual 101 dimorphism (Agama Lizard) the sex was determined, they were tagged male or female before 102 being weighed and measured. In the laboratory, the sex of the geckos was determined using 103 the presence of pores and hemi penal bulges at the base of the tail to identify the males while 104 105 the absence of those aforementioned identified females. The sex of the skinks was determined by dissecting them and checking for the presence of ovaries. Those with ovaries are females 106 107 while those without ovaries are males.

108 The following measurements were taken in cm:

109 1. Snout- vent length (SVL): from the tip of snout to anterior end of the cloaca.

110 2. Head height (HH): height of the head.

111 3. Head length (HL): ventral measurement from the tip of the lower jaw to immediately112 posterior to the jaw.

113 4. Head width (HW): the widest portion of the head anterior to the ear.

114 5. Tail length (TL): from the anterior end of the cloaca to the tip of the tail

115 6. Tail width (TW): measured at the base of the tail from one side to another

- 116 7. Trunk length (TRL): from where the forelimb originates to where the hind limb117 originates.
- 118 8. Mouth opening (MO): from snout to posterior border of the last supralabial scale
- 119 The weight of all specimens was measured in grams using the Harvard trip weighing balance.



120

Figure 1: Diagram showing some of the measured morphometric parameters on the Lizards.
Sources: (a & b) Modified from Kaliontzopoulou *et al.* (18), and (c) Modified from Uyeda *et al.* (19)

- 124
- 125 3.5 Statistical Analysis

126 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the significant difference 127 between the means, while the significant mean was separated at $p \le 0.05$ using Least 128 Significant Difference (LSD) test from System Analysis Software (SAS Institute, 20). 129 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was carried out with IBM SPSS 20th version.

130 **4.0 Results**

The abundance of four types of lizards (*Agama agama, Hemidactylus brookii, Trachylepis affinis* and *Trachylepis quinquetaeniata*) studied at five different locations (University Hostels, University staff quarters, University Library, University Parks and garden and markets) are shown in Table 1. Among the four lizards studied, A. agama had the highest population in all locations (57.53, 48.02, 53.85, 54.33, and 49.30%), followed by H. brookii (30.97, 36.72, 30.77, 19.69, and 28.17%). Skinks (T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) have the least percentage from all the locations.

Table 1: The morphometric parameters of male of the four lizard species (Agama *agama*,
 Hemidactylus brookii, *Trachylepis quinquetaeniata*, and *Trachylepis affinis*) caught on
 Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Locations	Specimen name	Male	Female	Total	Percentage total (%)
University Hostels	Agama lizards (A. agama)	25	40	65	57.53
	Wall geckos (<i>H. brookii</i>)	20	15	35	30.97
	Skinks (T. affinis)	3	5	08	7.08
	Skinks (T. quinquetaeniata)	2	3	05	4.42
	Total	50	63	113	100
University Staff Quarters	Agama lizards (<i>A. agama</i>)	30	55	85	48.02
	Wall geckos (H. brookii)	25	40	65	36.72
	Skinks (T. affinis)	7	9	16	9.04
	Skinks (T. quinquetaeniata)	5	6	11	6.22
	Total	67	110	177	100
University Library	Agama lizards (A. agama)	15	20	35	53.85
	Wall geckos (H. brookii)	12	8	20	30.77
	Skinks (T. affinis)	2	4	06	9.23
	Skinks (T. quinquetaeniata)	1	3	04	6.15
	Total	30	35	65	100
University Parks & Garden	Agama lizards (A. agama)	27	42	69	54.33
	Wall geckos (H. brookii)	14	11	25	19.69
	Skinks (T. affinis)	7	8	15	11.81
	Skinks (T. quinquetaeniata)	7	11	18	14.17
	Total	55	72	127	100
University Markets	Agama lizards (A. agama)	28	42	70	49.30
	Wall geckos (H. brookii)	18	22	40	28.17
	Skinks (T. affinis)	7	8	15	10.56

·	Skinks (T. quinquetaeniata)	8	9	17	11.97	
	Total	61	81	142	100	
142						

In all the locations, the highest number of Agama lizard was collected in the University
Hostels (57.53%) (Figure 2) followed by University parks and garden (54.33%), followed by
University Library, followed by University markets (49.30%). The least percentage of Agama
lizard was collected in University staff quarters (48.02%).

The highest value of *H. brookii* was collected in University staff quarters (36.72%), followed by University Hostels (30.97%) and University Library (30.77%). The least was collected in University parks and garden (19.69%). Skinks (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) abundance was low in University Hostels (7.08 & 4.42%), University staff quarters (9.04 & 6.22%) and University Library (9.23 & 6.15%). The highest percentage of Skins (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) were from University parks & garden (11.81 & 14.17%) and University market (10.56 & 11.97%).

The ratio of male to female of all the lizards did not follow a specific pattern in that female ratio was higher than male in *A. agama* in all the locations while the male ratio was higher than female in *H. brookii* collected in all the locations. The ratio of female Skins (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) collected in all the locations were higher than the male in this study.

159

Table 2 showed the morphometric parameters measured on the male specimens collected in all the locations. *A. agama* had the highest average body weight (68.38 g) followed by *Trachylepic quinquetaeniata* (23.55 g). The bodyweight of *Trachylepic affinis* (11.68 g) was higher than that of *Hemidactylus brookii* (8.99 g). The Height of the head (HH) of all the male specimens followed the same pattern with the bodyweight with *A. agama* having the highest average value (1.10 cm) followed by *T. quinquetaeniata* (0.90 cm). *T. affinis* and *H. brookii* have 0.75 & 0.55 cm respectively.



Table 2: The morphometric parameters of male of the four lizard species (*A. agama*, *H. brookii*, *T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) caught on
 Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Species	Statistics	BW (g)	HH (cm)	HL (cm)	HW (cm)	TL (cm)	TW (cm)	TRL (cm)	MO (cm)	SVL (cm)
AA	Mean	68.38 ^d ±1.2	$1.10^{d}\pm0.1$	3.82 ^c ±0.1	3.45 ^b ±0.1	16.85 ^c ±0.5	$0.86^{a}\pm0.1$	5.09 ^c ±0.4	1.99 ^c ±0.1	12.87 ^d ±0.2
	Range	50.4-80.8	0.7-1.5	2.8-4.5	2.5-4.0	11.6-20.9	0.4-1.6	3.0-8.0	1.3-2.6	11.2-14.6
HB	Mean	8.99 ^a ±0.3	0.55 ^a ±0.1	1.86 ^a ±0.1	1.16 ^a ±0.1	5.50 ^a ±0.3	0.65 ^a ±0.1	2.69 ^a ±0.1	1.04 ^b ±0.1	5.87 ^a ±0.1
	Range	7.4-12.4	0.4-0.8	1.6-2.4	0.6-1.5	4.0-7.0	0.4-0.9	1.7-3.1	0.6-1.7	5.4-6.4
ТА	Mean Range	$10.68^{\rm b} \pm 0.4 \\ 10.1 14.0$	0.75 ^b ±0.12 0.5-1.5	1.93 ^a ±0.2 1.1-2.6	1.15 ^a ±0.2 0.6-2.0	11.18 ^b ±0.4 9.3-12.9	0.71 ^a ±0.1 0.6-1.1	4.52 ^b ±0.3 3.5-5.4	0.89 ^a ±0.1 0.5-1.3	7.15 ^b ±0.5 5.4-9.4
TQ	Mean	15.55 ^c ±0.7	$0.90^{\circ} \pm 0.1$	2.15 ^b ±0.5	1.65 ^a ±0.1	13.00 ^b ±0.6	$0.90^{a}\pm0.1$	4.10 ^b ±0.4	1.35 ^b ±0.1	9.20 ^c ±0.3
	Range	20.8-26.3	0.8-1.0	2.0-2.3	1.6-1.7	12.4-13.6	0.8-1.0	3.7-4.5	1.3-1.4	8.9-9.5

208 *Means within column with different Superscript are significantly different (P≤0.05) from each other

209 Footnotes

210 AA is Agama agama (Agama Lizard), HB is Hemidactylus brookii (Wall gecko), TA is Trachylepis affinis (Skinks) and TQ is Trachylepis quinquetaeniata (Skinks)

BW is the Body weight, HH is the Height of the head, HL is the Head length, HW is the Head width, TAL is the Tail length, TAW is the Tail width, TRL is the Trunk length,
 MO is the Mouth opening and SVL is the Snout-vent length

There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in Head length average value among three male specimens (*H. brookii*, *T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) measured when compared to *A. agama* (3.45 cm). The Head width average value of male specimens collected in all the locations showed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between *H. brookii* and *T. affinis*. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the average Head width of A. agama (3.45 cm) and *T. quinquetaeniata* (1.65 cm).

The Tail length (TL) average value of A. agama (16.85 cm) was the highest and *H. brookii* (5.50 cm) have the least value of TL. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the TL of *T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*. The Tail width (TW) of all the specimens (A. agama, *H. brookii*, *T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) showed no significant difference at p >0.05, while the average value of TW for *T. quinquetaeniata* was the highest (0.90 cm) among all the male specimens. *A. agama* has average TAW value of 0.86 cm followed by *T. quinquetaeniata* (0.71 cm) and *T. affinis* (0.65 cm).

The average Trunk length (TRL) of T. affinis (4.52 cm) and T. quinquetaeniata (4.10 cm) 227 have no significant difference (p > 0.05), while average TRK of A. agama (5.09 cm) and H. 228 *brookii* (2.69 cm) showed a significant difference at p < 0.05. *H. brookii* have the list average 229 TRL value (2.69 cm) and A. agama have the highest value of TRL (5.09 cm). The average 230 value of Mouth opening (MO) of all the specimens was significantly differenced at p < 0.05. 231 A. agama has the highest value of MO (1.99 cm) and T. affinis have the least value of MO 232 233 (0.89 cm). H. brookii and T. quinquetaeniata have MO average values of 1.04 and 1.35 cm respectively. The Snout-vent length (SVL) average of all the specimens showed a significant 234 difference at p > 0.05. A. agama has the highest value of 12.87 cm, followed by T. 235 236 quinquetaeniata (9.20 cm). T. affinis have an average value of 7.15 cm for SVL, while H. brookii have an average value of 5.87 cm for SVL. 237

239 The values of morphometric parameters measured from female specimens (A. agama, H. brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) were shown in Table 3. The average Body weight 240 (BW) of A. agama was the highest (35.23 g) followed by Skinks (T. affinis (11.67 g) and T. 241 242 quinquetaeniata (15.40 g)) and H. brookii (6.23 g). The of Height of head (HH) of all the specimens collected in different locations showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) with T. 243 quinquetaeniata having the highest value (0.70 cm) and A. agama having the least (0.34 cm). 244 There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) among the average value of Head length (HL) 245 among three lizards (H. brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata). There was a significant 246 difference (p < 0.05) in HL value between A. agama and the rest of the specimen. The 247 average value of the Head width (HW) of A. agama was the highest (2.15 cm) followed by H. 248 249 brookii (1.55 cm), followed by T. affinis (1.14 cm) and T. quinquetaeniata (1.06 cm).

250

The least value of Tail length (TL) was recorded from H. brookii (5.23 cm), while the highest 251 average TL was recorded for A. agama (14.15 cm). The average value of the Tail length (TL) 252 253 of the specimens showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) between A. agama (14.15 cm) and *H. brookii* (5.23 cm). There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in TL between the two 254 species of Skins (T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata). Tail width (TAW) followed the same 255 pattern as TL. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between TW of A. agama and H. 256 *brookii*, while there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in TW between T. affinis and T. 257 quinquetaeniata. 258

Table 3: The morphometric parameters of female of the four lizard species (*A. agama*, *H. brookii*, *T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) caught on
 Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Species	Statistics	BW	HH	HL	HW	TL	TW	TRL	MO	SVL
		(g)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)
AA	Mean	$35.23^{d} \pm 1.6$	$0.34^{a}\pm0.1$	$2.74^{b}\pm0.2$	$2.15^{\circ}\pm0.1$	$14.15^{\circ}\pm0.3$	$0.39^{a}\pm0.1$	$4.05^{b} \pm 0.2$	$1.51^{\circ}\pm0.1$	$10.28^{\circ} \pm 0.2$
	Range	44.7-52.8	0.1-1.3	1.9-4.5	1.5-3.4	11.3-16.7	0.2-1.0	2.2-6.0	1.2-2.2	8.6-13.6
HB	Mean Range	6.23 ^a ±0.2 4.2-7.5	0.48 ^b ±0.1 0.3-0.6	1.64 ^a ±0.1 1.4-2.2	1.55 ^b ±0.4 0.8-1.0	5.23 ^a ±0.2 3.3-6.4	0.53 ^b ±0.1 0.3-0.9	2.69 ^a ±0.1 2.0-4.1	0.88 ^a ±0.1 0.7-1.2	5.35 ^a ±0.14 3-6.2
ТА	Mean Range	11.67 ^b ±0.3 9.6-13.4	0.58 ^c ±0.1 0.3-0.9	1.68 ^a ±0.2 1.0-2.6	1.14 ^b ±0.1 0.4-1.9	10.62 ^b ±0.6 5.0-13.0	0.69 ^c ±0.1 0.4-0.9	4.35 ^b ±0.2 3.1-5.8	0.91 ^b ±0.1 0.3-1.4	6.98 ^b ±0.3 5.0-8.9
TQ	Mean Range	25.40 ^c ±0.8 13.2-20.0	0.70 ^d ±0.1 0.5-0.9	1.67 ^a ±0.1 1.4-1.9	1.06 ^a ±0.1 0.8-1.3	11.3 ^b ±0.4 9.1-12.2	0.63 ^c ±0.1 0.4-0.9	4.14 ^b ±0.1 3.8-4.4	1.03 ^b ±0.1 0.7-1.4	7.30 ^b ±0.2 6.5-8.0

*Means within column with different Superscript are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other

263 Footnotes

AA is Agama agama (Agama Lizard), HB is Hemidactylus brookii (Wall gecko), TA is Trachylepis affinis (Skinks) and TQ is Trachylepis quinquetaeniata (Skinks)

265 BW is the Body weight, HH is the Height of the head, HL is the Head length, HW is the Head width, TAL is the Tail length, TAW is the Tail width, TRL is the Trunk length,

266 MO is the Mouth opening and SVL is the Snout-vent length

267

268

270 The Trunk length (TRL) average value of three specimens (A. agama, T. affinis, and T. *quinquetaeniata*) have similar values (4.05, 4.35, and 4.14 cm) without significant difference 271 (p > 0.05), but differed from *H. bookii* (2.69 cm) which have the least value. The Mouth 272 273 opening (MO) average value of A. agama was the highest (1.51 cm) and H. brookii (0.88 cm) have the least MO value. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in MO value of T. 274 affinis and T. quinquetaeniata. Snout-vent length (SVL) of A. agama was the highest (10.28 275 cm) followed by T. quinquetaeniata (7.30 cm). The SVL value of H. brookii was the least 276 (5.35 cm) and there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in SVL of T. affinis and T. 277 278 quinquetaeniata.

279

Figure 3 showed the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) scatter plot of the morphometric 280 relationship among the lizards (A. agama, H. brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) 281 282 captured in various location in the University. From the figure, the morphometric parameters measured on A. agama were different from other specimens. Although, it can be said that 283 284 there was a slight similarity between A. agama and T. affinis. The remaining specimens (H. brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) have morphometric similarities with T. affinis 285 having morphometric parameters common to the remaining two specimens (H. brookii and T. 286 quinquetaeniata). 287

288

289





- 294 Keys
- 295 Red colour is Agama Lizard (*Agama agama*)
- 296 Blue colour is Wall gecko (*Hemidactylus brookii*)
- 297 Pink colour is Skinks (*Trachylepis affinis*)
- 298 Green colour is Skinks (Trachylepis quinquetaeniata)
- 299
- 300 The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loading showed some of the morphometric
- 301 parameters that were different in the specimens (Figure 4). Tail length, Tail width and Trunk
- length were differed from one specimen to another with cut off of 0.4.





307 4.1 Discussions

The increase in the population of A. agama compared to other specimens (H. brookii, T. 308 affinis and T. quinquetaeniata) in all the location in the University can be attributed to their 309 310 ability to feed on different varieties of insects and plant materials (21). A. agama is regarded as frugivorous and seed dispersion as well as insectivorous animals. The abundance of H. 311 brookii residence (University Hostel, University staff quarters and University Library) may 312 be attributed to easy accessibility to food since they are commonly found in the house. 313 Tkaczenko et al. (22) reported that Hemidactylus species are highly adapted to living close to 314 315 people since they feed on insects that are attracted to artificial light sources which are commonly found where people live. The report of Tkaczenko et al. (22) was supported by 316 Weterings (23). He reported that Hemidactylus spp also forages in garbage bins and on tables 317 where they feed on leftover foods. 318

319 In case of Skinks (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*), the decrease in their population in most of the locations (University Hostel, University staff quarter and University Library) may be 320 321 attributed to the absence of grasses and shrubs. The presence of grasses and shrubs in the University market and University parks and garden may be responsible for the increases in 322 the amount of Skinks specimens collected in both locations. Kadry et al. (24) report on five-323 lined Skinks showed that Skinks are ground-dwelling and associated with grasses and shrubs 324 for protection against predators. They also reported that grasses and shrubs provided suitable 325 temperature for Skins behaviour. 326

The ratio of the female specimen in this study was higher than that of the male specimen in all the locations in the University. The ratio of the female specimen in this study may be due to the search for food and early sexual maturity. Female lizards (e.g *A. agama*) reach sexual maturity between fourteen and eighteen months, while it takes two years for male A. agama to sexual maturity (25). Also, the reduction amount of male specimen in this study may be attributed to competition and territorial behaviour which may lead to death or accessibility to the predator (26). This result was similar to our previous findings on the Black rat (*Rattus*) *rattus*) in Obafemi Awolowo University (13).

Variation in the measured morphometric parameters of the specimens may be due to different 335 336 factors. Some of the factor to be considered are sex, age, habitats, an abundance of food and predator (27). The report of phenotypic analysis of sexual size dimorphism of lizards showed 337 that female small lizard's species tend to be smaller than males, while males of large species 338 have higher body weight than female (28). In this study, the bodyweight of A. agama male 339 was higher than the female, while the bodyweight of female Skinks (T. affinis and T. 340 341 quinquetaeniata) was higher than male. Maturity in respect to the age of the species may also be responsible for variation in measured morphometric parameters. Although the age of the 342 specimens was not considered in this study, the report of Jena et al. (29) showed that young 343 lizards morphometric parameters are lower when compare with the older ones. 344

The habitats of lizards may be important when considering the differences in their morphometric parameters. Lizards being commonly found in a warm climate but leave in cold climate may develop some adaptive measure to survive the cold condition (30). Kaliontzopontou et al. (31) reported that morphology and habitat is a central theme in evolutionary biology because it reflects the way organisms adapt to their environment. The reports of Kohlsdorf et al. (32) showed that there is always a relationship between morphology and habitat which affect the body size and other parts of lizards.

The abundance of food is a major factor of life that determines how an individual's species allocate energy among competing demands and maturation (33). Food abundance in lizards can have direct long-lasting effects on external morphometric of lizards (34). The abundance of food may also attract the predator such as snakes to the habitat where lizards dwell. Lizards serve as food for snakes and other predators like Hawks (35).

The difference in the morphometric parameters of A. agama may be responsible for the differences seen in the scatter plot (Figure 3). A. agama can be considered bigger lizard

359 when compared with Wall gecko (*H. brookii*) and Skinks (*T. affinis* and *T. quinquetaeniata*) used for this study. It was shown from the morphometric parameters that A. agama have 360 higher values in all the parameters measured that the rest species. Among the rest species (H. 361 362 brookii, T. affinis and T. quinquetaeniata), there was interaction in all the parameters measured and the interaction may be attributed to similarity in average values of the 363 measured parameters. Although the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loading plot 364 (Figure 4) showed that Sex, Tail length (TL), Tail width (TW) and Trunk length of all the 365 lizards' species differs. The difference in the above mentioned parameters may be due to the 366 367 difference in species of lizard studied. The tail of male A. agama was reported used in fighting when defending their territory (36) while *H. brookii* do not use tail in the fighting. 368 This may be responsible for an increase in TL, TW, and TRL in A. agama than the rest 369 370 species.

The abundance of A. agama and *H. brookii* in University Hostels, University staff quarters and Library call for great concern since they are parasite carrier which can cause a great health concern when defecated of die in the food or urinate inside the food. It is important for the University authority to look for the best way to reduce the percentage of these lizards in the University.

376

377 Conclusion

A. *agama* and *H. brookii* are the most abundant species of lizards in all the collection locations and the measured morphometric parameters show a significant difference in all the lizards measured. It is important that University authority looks into ways to reduce the percentage of these lizards in University residence in other to prevent diseases.

382

383

384 **Conflict of interest**

385 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

387	Re	ferences
388	1.	Prokop, P., & Fedor, P. (2013). The effects of parasites on human behaviour: An
389		evolutionary perspective. Problems of Psychology in the 21st Century, 5(5), 46-64.
390	2.	Bridges, V., Kopral, C., & Johnson, R. (2001). The reptile and amphibian communities
391		in the United States. USDA: APHIS: VS Centers for Epidemiology and Animal Health,
392		Fort Collins.
393	3.	Hoffman, L. C., & Cawthorn, D. M. (2012). What is the role and contribution of meat
394		from wildlife in providing high quality protein for consumption?. Animal Frontiers, 2(4),
395		40-53.
396	4.	Leaché, A. D., Rödel, M. O., Linkem, C. W., Diaz, R. E., Hillers, A., & Fujita, M. K.
397		(2006). Biodiversity in a forest island: reptiles and amphibians of the West African Togo
398		Hills. Amphibian and Reptile Conservation, 4(1), 22-45.
399	5.	Sowemimo, O. A., & Oluwafemi, T. A. (2017). A Survey of Helminth Parasites of the
400		Lizard, Agama agama in Ile–Ife and Ibadan Southwest Nigeria. J. Bacteriol. Parasitol, 8,
401		1-6.
402	6.	Nash, H. (2005). Pentastomes: Respiratory Parasites of Reptiles. Veterinary Services
403		Department, Drs. Foster & Smith, city, country.
404	7.	Rocha, C. F. D., & Anjos, L. A. (2007). Feeding ecology of a nocturnal invasive alien
405		lizard species, Hemidactylus mabouia Moreau de Jonnès, 1818 (Gekkonidae), living in

- 406 an outcrop rocky area in southeastern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 67(3), 485407 491.
- 8. Oluwafemi, T., Sowemimo, O., & Bamidele, A. (2017). Parasitic infections of two
 species of lizard (Hemidactylus frenatus and Mabuya quinquetaeniata) from two cities in
 Southwest Nigeria. *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, *18*(2), 1-13.
- 411 9. Castiglia, R., Annesi, F., Bezerra, A. M., Garcia, A., & Flores-Villela, O. (2010).
- 412 Cytotaxonomy and DNA taxonomy of lizards (Squamata, Sauria) from a tropical dry

- 413 forest in the Chamela-Cuixmala Biosphere Reserve on the coast of Jalisco,
 414 Mexico. *Zootaxa*, 2508(1), 1-29.
- 415 10. Adeoye, G. O., & Ogunbanwo, O. O. (2007). Helminth parasites of the African lizard
 416 Agama agama (Squamata: Agamidae), in Lagos, Nigeria. *Revista de biología*417 *tropical*, 55(2), 417-425.
- 11. Nwadike, C. C., & Ilozumba, P. C. O. (2015). Prevalence of helminth partasites in
 rainbow lizard, Agama agama L.(Squamata: Agamidae) in Nsugbe, Anambra State,
 Nigeria. *Zoologist (The)*, 13, 22-27.
- 421 12. Omonona, A. O., Adedokun, O. A., & Adekoya-Gafaar, S. A. (2011). Parasitological
 422 studies on Agama lizard (Agama agama) in Ibadan. *Adv. Environ. Biol*, 5(5), 803-807.
- 423 13. Bamidele, A. O., & Kowobari, E. D. (2019). Morphology and Bait Preference of Black
 424 Rat (Rattus rattus) in the University Community in Nigeria: Obafemi Awolowo
 425 University as a Case Study. *Asian Journal of Research in Zoology*, 1-12.
- 426 14. Harris, V.A. (1964): The life of the rainbow lizard. Hutchinson, London. pp. 1-174.
- 427 15. Bauer, A. M., Pauwels, O. S., & Chanhome, L. (2002). A new species of cave-dwelling
 428 Cyrtodactylus (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Thailand. *Tropical Natural History*, 2(2),
 429 19-29.
- 430 16. Bauer, A. M. (2003). On the identity of Lacerta punctata Linnaeus 1758, the type species
- 431 of the genus Euprepis Wagler 1830, and the generic assignment of Afro-Malagasy
 432 skinks. *African Journal of Herpetology*, 52(1), 1-7.
- 433 17. Spawls, S., Howell, K., Drewes, R., & Ashe, J. (2004). A field guide to the reptiles of
 434 *East Africa: Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Rwanda and Burundi*. London: A & C Black.
- 435 18. Kaliontzopoulou, A., Carretero, M. A., & Llorente, G. A. (2007). Multivariate and
 436 geometric morphometrics in the analysis of sexual dimorphism variation in Podarcis
 437 lizards. *Journal of Morphology*, 268(2), 152-165.

- 438 19. Uyeda, L. T., Iskandar, E., Kyes, R. C., & Wirsing, A. J. (2015). Encounter rates,
 439 agonistic interactions, and social hierarchy among garbage-feeding water monitor lizards
- 440 (Varanus salvator bivittatus) on Tinjil Island, Indonesia. *Herpetological Conservation*

441 *and Biology*, *10*(2), 753-764.

- 442 20. SAS Institute, (1997). System analysis software, version 9.1. SAS Institute Inc, Carry
 443 NC
- 444 21. Herrera, C. M. (2002). Seed dispersal by vertebrates. *Plant–animal interactions: an*445 *evolutionary approach*, 185-208.
- 22. Tkaczenko, G. K., Fischer, A. C., & Weterings, R. (2014). Prey preference of the
 Common House Geckos Hemidactylus frenatus and Hemidactylus
 platyurus. *Herpetology Notes*, *7*, 483-488.
- Weterings, R. (2017). Observations of an adaptive feeding strategy in flat-tailed house
 geckos (Hemidactylus platyurus) living in buildings. *Herpetology Notes*, *10*, 133-135
- 451 24. Kadry, M. A., Mohamed, H. R., & Hosney, M. (2017). Ecological and biological studies
- 452 on five-lined skink, Trachylepis (= Mabuya) quinquetaeniata inhabiting two different
 453 habitats in Egypt. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy le Grand)*, 63(11).
- 454 25. Adams, E. S. (2001). Approaches to the study of territory size and shape. *Annual Review*455 *of Ecology and Systematics*, 32(1), 277-303.
- 456 26. Miaud, C., Andreone, F., Ribéron, A., De Michelis, S., Clima, V., Castanet, J., ... &
 457 Guyétant, R. (2001). Variations in age, size at maturity and gestation duration among
 458 two neighbouring populations of the alpine salamander (Salamandra lanzai). *Journal of*459 *Zoology*, 254(2), 251-260.
- 460 27. Kidawa, D., & Kowalczyk, R. (2011). The effects of sex, age, season and habitat on diet
- 461 of the red fox Vulpes vulpes in northeastern Poland. *Acta theriologica*, *56*(3), 209-218.

- 28. Colwell, R. K. (2000). Rensch's rule crosses the line: convergent allometry of sexual size
 dimorphism in hummingbirds and flower mites. *The American Naturalist*, *156*(5), 495510.
- 465 29. Jena, B. S., Nayak, S. B., & Patnaik, B. K. (2002). Age-related effect of aluminium on
 466 the catalase activities of the brains of two species of poikilothermic
 467 vertebrates. *Gerontology*, 48(1), 34-38.
- 468 30. Harding, J. H., & Mifsud, D. A. (2017). *Amphibians and reptiles of the Great Lakes*469 *region*. University of Michigan Press.
- 470 31. Kaliontzopoulou, A., Carretero, M. A., & Llorente, G. A. (2010). Intraspecific
 471 ecomorphological variation: linear and geometric morphometrics reveal habitat-related
 472 patterns within Podarcis bocagei wall lizards. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23(6),
 473 1234-1244.
- 474 32. Kohlsdorf, T., Garland Jr, T., & Navas, C. A. (2001). Limb and tail lengths in relation to
 475 substrate usage in Tropidurus lizards. *Journal of Morphology*, 248(2), 151-164.
- 476 33. Mugabo, M., Marquis, O., Perret, S., & Le Galliard, J. F. (2010). Immediate and delayed
- 477 life history effects caused by food deprivation early in life in a short-lived lizard. *Journal*478 *of evolutionary biology*, *23*(9), 1886-1898.
- 479 34. Taborsky, B. (2005). The influence of juvenile and adult environments on life-history
 480 trajectories. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273(1587), 741481 750.
- 482 35. Cortés-Gomez, A. M., Ruiz-Agudelo, C. A., Valencia-Aguilar, A., & Ladle, R. J. (2015).
 483 Ecological functions of neotropical amphibians and reptiles: a review. *Universitas*
- 484 *Scientiarum*, 20(2), 229-245.
- 36. Cooper Jr, W. E. (2003). Social behavior and antipredatory defense in lizards. *Lizard social behavior. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore*, 107-141.