### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_51320
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Divergence and Heritability Study of Some NERICA Mutant Lines and Their Parents Using Microsatellites Marker and Morphological Traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This work is very good and very important for rice improvement. The comments have included in the revised manuscript.  The title( Genetic Divergence and Heritability Study of Some NERICA Mutant Lines and Their Parents Using Microsatellites Marker and Morphological Traits) should be (Genetic Divergence and Heritability Study of Some NERICA Mutant Lines and Their Parents Using Microsatellites Marker and Morphological Traits in Rice).  Eighteen advanced early maturing drought tolerant NERICA rice mutant lines (250, 300 and 350 Gy gamma-ray treated) were selected from M4 to M5 generations along with 3 NERICA parent varieties- NERICA-1, NERICA-4 and NERICA-10 (Table.1) and were evaluated to study morphological and molecular variability. The question here is why the among three doses of gamma rays and between M4 and M5 have not been compared at least in terms of mean performances.  Genotypic variances (σ²g), environmental variances (σ²e), phenotypic variances (σ²p), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) ware estimated following the formulas not proposed by Singh and Chaundry (1985), but these formulas as outlined by by Singh and Chaundry (1985).  Each formula of genetic parameters should be mentioned separately with the author who proposed it.  Mention the author without year.  These results need to more discussion.	TIIS/TIET TEEGDACK TIETE)
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name: Ess	ssam Fathy Mohamed El-Hashash
Department, University & Country Al-A	I-Azhar University, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)