



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_51252
Title of the Manuscript:	Decision Making Capacity and Constraints Faced by Rural Women while Seeking Maternal and Child Health Care Services in North Eastern Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The use of "maternal period" is ambiguous. Sentenced must be revised Line 17-18: correct sentence Line 21: what does MMR stand for? Abbreviations should be written in full in first use Line 67-71 &amp; Line 76-79. The sampling procedures are contradictory. Purposive sampling is totally different from simple random sampling. The two cannot stand.</p> <p>Line 79-80. What is the probability that the sample drawn was representative of the total population of eligible women in the village? Do the villages have the same population size? If the population size is not the same or near the same, selecting 30 participants in each village is not an appropriate procedure to achieve a representative sample.</p> <p>This is a study involving human beings. According to the declaration of Helsinki, all studies involving human participants should be reviewed by an institutional review board. However, nowhere in the manuscript has the ethical considerations followed in this study been stated. Kindly include a sub-section under the methods titled "Ethical considerations" and state the ethics of this study.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 76: refer to my earlier comment about the use of maternal period in the abstract. When does the maternal period begin and end? Line 128: 41.99% cannot be referred to as "Majority". It is not even half of the subgroup. Line 131: refer to my comment on the use of majority. Line 154-157: That is a strong conclusion without any reference. Provide appropriate references to support your claim.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript should be proofread by a native English speaker to correct grammar	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Michael Boah</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Harbin Medical University, China</i></b>