SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_50628
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF SCROTAL HERNIA IN 6 AND 9 MONTHS OLD OUDAH RAMS
	A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:	Review	Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 (1). Please review and make few spelling corrections as highlighted in red. (2). Kindly review your references, as they did not follow the journal's guidelines and style. All references should follow the journals prescribed style. Eg, reference to a journal, for published paper: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Please refer to the paper template or authors guidelines at www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/ authors-instruction, for further clarifications. 	
Optional/General comments See manuscript Red highlights signifies: delete / make corrections Green highlights signifies: add / guide to correction	Comments: A well written and well presented case report.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umezurike Benedict Chidozie
Department, University & Country	Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)