



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_49809
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of solar cell efficiency by using TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructure doped Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> dye and effect of concentration of solvent on optical properties
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Reformat the document</b></p> <p>I do not see an 'intercept' in Figs. 7-11</p> <p>How were Figs 12 and 13 measured?</p> <p>The ABSTRACT has still written there "(ARIAL, BOLD, 11 font ... CAPS)" (which, by the way, the instructions the authors did not follow!)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>All value seperated from the unit. So, "1.5 mg" instead of "1.5mg", etc.</p> <p>All equations numbered. Where does last equation come from?</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The contents of the manuscript are of enough quality to warrant its publication. However, the format is really terrible! The document was written with very little care. (Why should anyone waste time on reading it when it was wrriitten with disrespect for the reader?)</p> <p>The figures are incomprehensible and a lot of typos and erros are in the document. It ranges from small things (like writing sometimes "DSC" and sometimes "DSSC") to bigger things (like equations that are incorrect). They are too numerous to point them all out here.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Peter Stallinga</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Algarve, Portugal</i></b>