



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_50941
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Chainsaw Milling Enterprise in Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>PI. avoid using abbreviation in your abstract. And please provide the full form of your abbreviation in the first paragraph of every section</b></li> <li>- 'In Nigeria, though the system is illegal, it is permitted under some regulations, restricted to domestic use only while in other countries it is only permitted for small-scale commercial production.' <b>PI provide evidence in the form of primary reference of the Forest Law or secondary reference as in cited from other works.</b></li> <li>- 'Therefore, studies like this, tailored to provide and support the dissemination of reliable market information are invaluable and expedient.' <b>Your sentence is vague. PI use simple and concise sentence to describe your study objective.</b></li> <li>- <b>I don't think Table 1 is relevant to be inserted in your method. Just write 1 or 2 sentences to describe your sampling design and sample size.</b></li> <li>- <b>Don't put the source of your result i.e. 'Source: Field survey, 2013' as this is your own result and not a secondary data.</b></li> <li>- <b>Results &amp; Discussion: Please summarise your result.</b></li> <li>- <b>Section 3.5: Combine all of your results into 1 table and discuss it.</b></li> <li>- <b>Do you combine your results % discussion sections or not? PI refer to your Section 3 and Section 4</b></li> <li>- <b>Conclusion: Have you answer your research objective?</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>PI check the usage of your comma because it can change the meaning of your sentence.</b></li> </ul>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Julia Nelson</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia</b>