



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_49966
Title of the Manuscript:	Tenement Housing and Domestic Conflict in Diobu Port Harcourt, Rivers State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper should be reviewed by a native English speaker. Problems exist throughout on the use of sentence structure (the Introduction is thoroughly unintelligible) and word usage.</p> <p>Mind you, I have no problem with the rather simplistic Hypothesis/Conclusion exercise, but I do think portions NOT dealing with the measurement of data need to be rewritten.</p> <p>One final thing. The Ethical component (369) is definitely applicable since you are taking interviews/data.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Garfield
Department, University & Country	University of Dubuque, USA