



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50834
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological and biochemical analyses of sorghum varieties reveal differential responses to salinity stress
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript do not indicate novelty in the research area. The text have some mistakes in standardization of writing, such as type of font, different font size. The references are not standardized. The citations are not standardized. Different space between lines. Figures is good, but which letter corresponding to which photo? Although the idea of manuscript is simple, it is interesting investigate different genotypes in the region. Which specie of <i>Sorghum</i>? Please, inform. Few varieties. Experimental design is poor. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Henrique Kuhn Massot Padilha</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Federal of Pelotas, Brazil</i>