APPLICATION OF VETERINARY CYTOGENETICS IN DOMESTIC ANIMALS; A REVIEW

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Cytogenetics is the study of chromosomes; their structure and properties, chromosome behavior during cell division, their influence on traits and factors which cause changes in chromosomes. Veterinary cytogenetics is the application of cytogenetics to clinical problems that occur in animal production. It has been applied to understand problems such as infertility and its types, embryonic and fetal death, abnormality in sexual and somatic development and hybrid sterility and also prenatal sex determination and other forms of chromosomal abnormalities. These are achieved through conventional and banded karyotyping techniques and molecular cytogenetic techniques. Although conventional techniques are still useful and very widely applied, the nature of cytogenetics has gradually changed as a result of advances achieved in the molecular cytogenetic techniques for example fluorescent in situ hybridization and array-based techniques. These changes are evident in both molecular diagnostics and basic research. The combination of conventional and molecular cytogenetics has given rise to high resolution techniques which have enabled the study of fundamental questions regarding biological processes. It enables the study of inherited syndromes, the mechanisms of tumorigenesis at molecular level, genome organization and the determination of chromosome homologies between species. It allows the ease with which animal are selected in breeding programs and other important aspects of animal production. In this paper we discussed a number of techniques employed in cytogenetics and their methodologies, and recommend where future focus should be for the benefits of animal production.

9

10 Keywords: Key words: Cytogenetics, Karyotyping, Chromosomal aberrations, in situ hybridization.

8

1

11 1. INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

12 INTRODUCTION

The term cytogenetics has traditionally referred to studies of cellular aspects of heredity, 13 14 particularly those that bordered on the description of chromosome structures and 15 identification of chromosomes aberrations that cause disease (1). For various applications, 16 from clinical diagnostics to basic genomic research, cytogenetics has been used in this sense. 17 The term has however been expanding rapidly within the last few decades and currently includes a host of related cytological techniques. Two events that occurred in the mid 18 19 nineteen sixties, which revolutionized the field of cytogenetics were the report of (2) about 20 the discovery of the Robertsonian translocation in the karyotype of cattle and second was the 21 ability of scientists to describe the effects of such anomaly on the fertility of animal carriers 22 by (3).

The field of cytogenetic is broadly classified into 1) Conventional cytogenetics and 2) 23 24 Molecular cytogenetics. The conventional techniques comprise the normal chromosome 25 staining and the banding techniques, some of which are G, Q, R, C and T-banding and NOR 26 staining. These have since been integrated into animal breeding programs to investigate 27 chromosome abnormalities thereby reducing the incidents of reproductive losses in livestock 28 production (4). This is achieved by subjecting bulls for reproduction to undergo rigorous 29 cytogenetic testing, i.e conventional and banded karyotyping to detect chromosomal 30 anomalies. (5). Various researchers have applied the banding techniques to bring to light the 31 nature of chromosomes and possible homology between different species. (6) described G 32 and R karyotypes of cattle at about 500 band level using a number of standards, ie Reading 33 Conference standard. They have been able to elucidate the nature of the small acrocentric 34 chromosomes and other disputed chromosomes using some bovid markers. In another leap 35 (7) have, through the molecular techniques, demonstrated homologies between cattle and goat 36 chromosomes 11, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 26, and variations in the remaining 37 autosomes and recommend further investigation of some of elongated chromosomes. The 38 banding techniques, which were developed in the 1970, which have improved the resolution 39 at which chromosomes are compared between species and even between and within breeds to study homologies, have evolved over time and are still widely used (1,8,9). They have been 40 used in various aspect of domestic animals' improvement, from disease diagnoses to breeding 41 evaluations. Chromosome anomalies are however sometimes too complex for banding 42 techniques to be employed to diagnose them fully. This necessitates the need for more 43 sensitive and more refined techniques. This sensitivity and refinement was achieved through 44 the development of molecular cytogenetics (10). 45

Molecular cytogenetic techniques on the other hand, provide more opportunities for genome 46 study as they provide higher resolution than the conventional techniques. The techniques 47 started through the development of *in situ* hybridization (ISH). Over the past three decades 48 the field of molecular cytogenetics has witnessed the birth of techniques with increasingly 49 higher resolutions (1)). The earlier molecular cytogenetic techniques were based on in situ 50 hybridization, where radioactively labelled probes were used as the reporter molecules (11). 51 These were based on the work of (12) who used DNA-RNA hybridization to localize some 52 genes. Since then simpler and more efficient probe detection methods have been developed. 53 These include direct and indirect fluorochrome labelling, biotin labelling through Degenerate 54 Oligonucleotide Primed PCR (DOP-PCR) (1)), which itself is still being improved (13). 55 Today a variety of molecular cytogenetic techniques, including those initially designed for 56 humans, are applied to domestic animals for various purposes (14). These methods include 57 but are not limited to Comet assay, localization of telomeric sequences and telomere length 58 analysis and are fast becoming part of regular cytogenetic investigative techniques in 59

Comment [u1]: It is not clear what they are talkin about, they must indicate the complete name of the conference and the year

Comment [u2]:

Comment [u3]: Grammar is not clear

veterinary research and clinical practice (14–16). Here we review some of the importanttechniques currently applied to the study of domestic animals.

62 Cytogenetics and domestic animal studies

63 Conventional cytogenetic techniques have always been a part of veterinary cytogenetics, both

64 in clinical and research works (17,18), molecular cytogenetics is relatively a recent 65 introduction.

Although the application of molecular cytogenetics is more intense in humans, the number of 66 studies and the complexity of the techniques carried out in the domestic animals recently has 67 shown the viability and the promise of the techniques in addressing a lot of biological 68 questions in domestic species (19,20) Various aspects of FISH techniques have been applied 69 to veterinary cytogenetics. For instance aneuploidy in porcine embryos was investigated 70 three-color fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) method using chromosome-71 using specific DNA probes; it enabled the establishment of baseline frequencies of aneuploidy in 72 73 embryos, spermatozoa and oocytes (21,22). Another molecular cytogenetic technique, primed in situ DNA synthesis (PRINS), has been applied to pig's genome to visualize the 74 75 interstitial telomeric signal in the genome. It is an attractive complement to FISH for detection of DNA repetitive sequences and unlike conventional FISH, it displays lower level 76 77 of non-specific hybridization (14,20,23,24). In the field of in vitro embryo production, reproductive biotechnologies, cytogenetics, molecular biology are expected to play vital role 78 in understanding the mechanisms underlying chromosome instability in embryos and the 79 impact of the in vitro environment on embryos chromosome (25,26) Researchers are also 80 working to optimize the hybridization of molecular probes specific to the X chromosomes in 81 mare. Although the success is slow in this regard, the future promise is enormous (27). 82 Bovine species, which are often considered model animal species have been studied through 83 various aspects of molecular cytogenetic techniques such as SKY/MFISH, linkage studies, 84 FISH-mapping and other relevant bioinformatics (28-30)Phylogenetic studies have shown 85 great usefulness in agriculture and evolutionary biology as it enables researchers to 86 understand the origin of domestic species (29). It also provides understanding of the 87 domestication on animal behavior (31). 88 (31) have used the combination of conventional cytogenetics techniques; silver nitrate 89

staining and molecular cytogenetic techniques; FISH and PRINS to study chromosomal
 polymorphism in a population of wild and domestic foxes.

Comparative molecular cytogenetics in avian species to improve reproductive capabilities is an emerging area in animal reproduction. (32). As would be expected different techniques are used to study different aspects of cytogenetics (Table 1) Comment [u4]: repeated
Comment [u5]: Pronoun is missing

UNDERPETION

95

96	Table 1. Cytogenetics	techniques and	the chromosome	anomaly they identify.

UNDER

	Polyploidy	Aneuploidy	Reciprocal	Unbalanced	Amplification	Amplification	Cell to cell
			translocation	translocation	(DM or HSR)	(distributed insertions)	to cell
							variability
				Detection			
Techniqu							
e							
Banding	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
					\mathbf{O}		
FISH/SK	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Y							
CGH	-	+	-	+	+	+	+

98 DM= double minute, HSR= homogeneously stained regions, FISH= fluorescent in situ hybridization, SKY= spectral karyotyping Comment [u7]: CGH is missing

- 99
- 100
- 101

102 THE TECHNIQUES

103 Some of the various techniques employed in conventional and molecular cytogenetics are

- 104 discussed briefly in the coming sections below.
- 105 The conventional techniques
- 106 PBMC cell culture and metaphase preparation
- 107 5 mLs of whole blood is obtained by means of heparinized vacutainer. PBMC are obtained either 108 directly from the buffy coat after centrifuging whole blood at 1900 rpm for 8 minutes, or by 109 gradient isolation using Ficoll. They are grown in culture medium: RPMI 1640 medium, 101 supplement: bovine fetal serum, L-glutamine, antibiotics, in the presence of a mitogen. They are 111 generally incubated for 72 hours, one hour before harvest, colcemid is added to stop cell division
- and arrest the cells at metaphase. The arrested cells are treated with hypotonic solution, KCl,
- 112 and affest the cens at metaphase. The affested cens are freated with hypotonic solution, KCl, (0.075M) for 15-20 mins and the cell are fixed with galacial acetic acid: methanol 1:3 (Carnov's
- fixative). After cell culture, chromosome slides are prepared for downstream studies
- 115 (8,33–35).

116 CHROMOSOME BANDING TECHNIQUES

117 <u>G banding</u>

- 118 G banding is a euchromatic banding technique that's essential in individual chromosomes
- 119 identification. It is used to identify chromosome abnormalities and rearrangements in cancers and
- 120 genetic diseases (36,37).
- 121 For G banding, slides are aged at room temperature for three or more days. They are thereafter
- rinsed in distilled water, incubated in 0.025% freshly prepared trypsin for 35-40 seconds. They are
- then rinsed in three washed of PBS⁻, which blocks the action of trypsin, 0r 10% Giemsa is used to
- stain the slides. They are air dried and viewed under microscope. (Figure 1)

125 <u>R-banding</u>

- R-band is approximately opposite of G or Q bands produced by various means and has the theoretical advantage of staining the gene-rich chromatin, thereby enhancing the ability to
- visualize small structural rearrangements in the parts of the genome that are most likely to result in phenotypic abnormalities (37).
- 129 phenotypic abnormalities (37).
- Slides are prepared and aged for three days, they are then incubated in a buffer solution twice, usually Earle's bicarbonate free solution, first at 87°C pH 5.3 for 30 minutes, then at 87°C, pH 6.5 for another 30 minutes after which they are rinsed in running water. The slides are then stained
- 133 with Giemsa and viewed under microscope with orange filter.

134 <u>NOR staining</u>

- Ag-NOR staining is employed to identify the nucleolar organizers and their activities onchromosomes.
- The slides will be incubated in borate buffer pH 9.2 at room temperature for 30 minutes. They are
 rinsed in distilled water and thebthen air dried. They are mounted in a 50% silver nitrate solution
 with a coverslip. They put in a humid chamber and incubated in a water bath at 65°C for 1 hour.
- After the incubation, they will be rinsed with distilled water and then stained for 1minute with 1%
 Giemsa and observed under microscope. A lot of variant methods can be used for this
 technique(38–40).
- 143
- 144
- 145

146	Figure 1: A metaphase chromosomes spread of the deer (<i>Cervus timorensis</i>) produced for
147	conventional cytogenetics karyotyping.

Comment [u8]: Indicate the meaning of PBMC before using the acronym

Comment [u9]: Use examples of the used mitogens

Comment [u10]: Indicate the concentration

Comment [u11]: The basis of each staining should be included, in addition to the description the techniques

Comment [u12]: Do not use contractions

Comment [u13]: Put the legend below the photo, and an example of how each stain is observed would increase the quality of the paper



148 149

150 THE MOLECULAR CYTOGENETIC TECHNIQUES

151 Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (Fish)

152 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) is a technique that allows the localization of genes and 153 other specific DNA sequences on target cells and chromosomes. FISH is widely applied in 154 cytological studies and has gone beyond gene mapping or the study of genetic rearrangements in

- disease. It is used increasingly used to study genome organization in various organisms including
 livestock and plant (41–43)
- The discovery that labelled ribosomal RNA hybridiseshybridizes to acrocentric chromosomes was 157 158 the foundation of the FISH technique (i.e. chromosomes in which the centromere is not located at the center)(44). In the beginning, radioisotopes were used as reporters for the FISH technique. 159 However, the arrival fluorochromes, which are safer alternatives, both in their time requirement 160 and their ability to give rise to different colours, has provided a suitable replacement. This 161 technique involves the use of DNA or RNA probes, which are labelled with fluorescent molecules 162 and hybridised to genomic DNA sequences, to enable the study of specific sites on chromosomes. 163 It can be used in physical chromosome mapping, chromosomes rearrangement analysis, 164 comparative gene mapping, studies of chromosome structure and evolution and a host of other 165 interesting areas(30,45-47)The in-situ methods involve the use of DNA or RNA probes, which are 166 167 labelled with fluorescent molecules and hybridised to genomic DNA sequences, to enable the 168 study of specific sites on chromosomes. The advancement in the available technology continuously provides scientists with more robust variants of the technique with more resolution. 169 Below we discuss some of the most applied variants currently. 170
- The production of probe, which is achieved through DNA extraction and labelling is the first step in FISH. The labelling could be done by either PCR, random priming or enzymatically through nick translation.
- Nick translation is a process by which DNA polymerase causes nicks in single DNA strands through its exonuclease activity. Thereafter, nucleotide, which are labelled with fluorescent dye
- are incorporated in to the broken single strands, the nicks, by DNA polymerases. The polymeraseuses the healthy strand, which is non-nicked as a template.
- The first step in FISH is production of a DNA probe. This is achieved by incorporating a fluorochrome into a template the DNA in a reaction known as labelling. The probes can be

Comment [u14]: Change s for z in all the hybridized

labelled by a number of different reactions, these could be achieved through both enzymatic and
chemical procedures. as nick translation, random priming or the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).
After a probe is produced from genomic DNA, Cot-1 DNA, which suppress the hybridisation of

repetitive sequences, is added to the mixture, to prevent non-specific hybridisation, which can in difficulty to distinguish between 'signal' and 'background noise' (48).

185 Slides of metaphase chromosome spreads are prepared as described above (49). The slide is heated through appropriately to denature the target DNA. The probe, which is mixed with the and Cot-1 186 DNA is also denatured by heating and thereafter applied to the slide for 187 hybridisation hybridization. The slide is incubation for an average of period of 24 hours at 37°C for 188 189 hybridisation hybridization between the probe and target DNA (48). The length of hybridization sequences determines the incubation time, generally shorter probes, like repetitive DNA probes or 190 chromosome-painting probes, require shorter incubation time, whereas longer probes, used in 191 incubation times are needed to hybridisehybridize of complementary DNA (cDNA) sequences or 192

complete genomes, require longer incubation time (48). The target is detected under fluorescent microscope (1) (Figure 2).





196 197 198

199 Spectral Karvotyping And Multicolor Fish (M-Fish)

200 The advent of FISH saw the birth of a technique which allows the fluorescence of a single copy 201 gene. This was a very big improvement at the time, but researchers soon began longing for even 202 more potent techniques that could paint multiple chromosomes and genes at the same time (30). 203 To achieve this, a technique called M-FISH was developed in humans. M-FISH enabled the 204 painting and viewing of all the human chromosome in different colours. In this technique every 205 chromosome can have a different color through the combination of fluorescent dyes at in different concentrations. This technique can be useful, especially in the case of complex aberrations 206 207 associated with solid tumors of different types (5). These techniques can be made to automatically 208 stratify different chromosomal segments by differential coloration. The presence of this and its 209 enhancements signal a new down in the hope for automated karyotype analysis system in the near 210 future(44,50). M-FISH techniques have proven a lot of usefulness in detecting chromosomal 211 translocations and other intricate chromosomal aberrations (1). To avoid fertilization failure due to 212 chromosomal abnormality after IVF, MFISH is employed to screen the oocytes, in humans, to 213 ensure that oocytes with no chromosomal abnormality are used in the procedure. This is called 214 Preimplantation Genetic Deliagnosis (PGD) screening. The procedure is should be useful in \underline{v} + veterinary cytogenetics, especially with regards to endangered species (51). 215

The simultaneous hybridization of chromosome-specific composite probes is the basis on which SKY was build. For humans and mouse probes are generated after sorting the chromosomes through flow cytometry (52). Each chromosome library is generated by is labelling them with single or a combination of multiple fluorochromes, which produces specific spectra for the chromosomes. To increase resolution and discernibility of the procedure, different combinations Comment [ah15]: Source

221 of fluorochrome is preferred. For painting human chromosomes, five different fluorochromes are incorporated into the DNA through a combinative labelling program using degenerate 222 oligonucleotide primer-polymerase chain reaction (DOP-PCR), it allows the identification of 31 223 224 different targets (48). Repetitive sequences are a primary problem of this technique, therefore 225 excess of Cot-1 DNA is used with the probes to suppress the unwanted sequences, during 226 hybridization onto metaphase chromosome preparations. The hybridization mixture is incubated at 37°C for an average of 48 hours. Post hybridization washes are used to remove residual probes 227 before detection steps are to visualize the specimens (48). The detection is achieved by Image 228 229 acquisition and processing using a complex microscope system and a CCD camera with 230 interferometer and a computer (14). The spectral signatures are measured at all image points, all pixels with identical spectra are assigned unique colors and this measurement is used for 231 chromosome classification (27,53). With this technique specific colour are assigned to each 232 233 chromosome is the image is acquired with a single filter set (52) (Figure 3).

234 Figure 3: Spectral karyotyping



235 236

237 Comparative Genomic Hybridization (Cgh)

CGH, and its later variants, which are more robust than FISH, have been employed to address its complexities and automation challenges (54). Because of its ability to detect various types of genetic imbalances in a single experiment, CGH has become a very useful and widely employed tool in cytological techniques in recent times. (48).

One of the most important advantages of CGH is that it does not require slides of metaphase
chromosomes, it is used to survey DNA copy number variation, with vary high resolution across
the genome (55–57). In CGH well characterized probes are printed on slides and DNA samples;
unknown and control, which are differentially lebelledlabeled are hybridized to the slide. The ratio
of the unknown DNA to that of the control are analysed and measured (58).

CGH is applied to the whole genome; the entire genomic DNA of the test and reference are 247 obtained by standard DNA extraction protocols. The two DNAs are labelled with different 248 labelling agents (for example biotin for the test genome and digoxigenin reference genome). The 249 250 two DNAs are combined and added to an unlabeled cot-1 DNA, to rid both genomes of unwanted 251 repetitive sequences (30,59). The mixture is mapped to a reference metaphase slide, which carries a normal DNA, through hybridization. The two DNAs are detected using Avidin coupled with 252 FITC and antidigoxin coupled to rhodamine for biotin and digoxigenin-labelled DNA 253 254 respectively. The DNA copy-number alterations in the test genome is detected by the different 255 colour intensities of the two fluorochromes allows the copy number alteration in the test DNA to be detected (48). 256

257 <u>TELOMERE LENGTH ANALYSIS</u>

Another technique which is important in animal production is telomere analysis. The structures are located at chromosomes terminals and in conjunction with some proteins (TRF1, TRF2, POT1, TIN2, TPP1 and Rap1) protect the chromosomes from deterioration at the extremities and fusion with neighboring chromosomes (60). Because telomeres undergo shortening during replication in livestock and humans (61), analysis of its length has the potential to be used as a marker for diagnosis, especially for stress (15,62–64). Shortening of telomere is also associated with oxidative stress, resulting from inflammation or exposure to xenobiotics or irradiation (65). Comment [ah16]: Comment [ah17]: indcate the source of the

figure

Current techniques employed to study telomere length include quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (Q-FISH), PCR of single telomere lengths (STELA), qPCR, interphase nuclei and

flow-FISH and terminal restriction fragment (TRF) length analysis by Southern blot (66,67).

268 IMMUNOLOCALIZATION OF DNA REPAIR PROTEINS

- 269 This is another technique applied to animal production, it has been used to study chromosome
- pairing chromosome translocation and recombination during meiosis (68). It has also been applied
 for the study double strand DNA breaks via histones and binding proteins (69). This analysis can
- for the study double strand DNA breaks via histones and binding proteins (69). This ar be achieved without necessarily making slide that will require protein fixation (70,71)

273 COMET ASSAY

274 Through this test researchers can study single cells to evaluate DNA strand breaks therein, it is also known as single cell gel electrophoresis. Cell are lysed in neural or alkaline condition and 275 then they are embedded in a low melting agarose gel. The suspended cells are electrophoresed and 276 stained with fluorescent DNA dye and imaged. Undamaged cells are highly organized and show 277 slow migration across the gel, while damaged ones don't appear organized and migrate faster 278 along the gel. Double-strand breaks are identified in neutral conditions, while alkaline conditions 279 280 allow double-strand breaks detection (72,73). The technique has been used to study various 281 toxicological effects in humans and livestock (74-77) in cattle; (78) in sheep and (79) in horses.

282 CONCLUSION

283 Cytogenetics has been of had great importance in veterinary reproduction over the past few 284 decades since its introduction and the application has greatly increased our understanding of 285 animal infertility and its types, embryonic and fetal death, abnormality in sexual and somatic development and hybrid sterility and also prenatal sex determination and chromosomal 286 abnormality. The Molecular techniques have greatly enhanced the field of cytogenetic research. 287 The development of FISH techniques has, particularly widened the paradigm for research in this 288 area considerably. The existence of enormous resolution gap between traditional cytogenetic 289 techniques and molecular biology techniques has now been extensively reduced by molecular 290 cytogenetics. Scientists have successfully arrested the problem of sensitivity by developing new 291 methods which have the ability to detect fluorescently labeled probes not more than 200 base pairs 292 293 length. Another feat is the development of MFISH, which enables colour karyotyping, and therefore, the simultaneous visualization of a complete set of chromosomes. This has greatly 294 reduced the issue of multiplicity in these techniques. Characterization of imbalances in 295 296 chromosomes is today conveniently, thanks to the introduction of CGH, which has become an invaluable tool in this regard. The Comet assay, Immunolocalization of DNA repair proteins and 297 298 Telomere length analysis have all played various roles in shaping our understanding of 299 cytogenetics today. These advances have together contributed in improving and refining the field of cytogenetics and have increased the ease and versatility of research using cytogenetic tools. The 300 applications of these techniques have now transcended the boundaries of low-resolution 301 302 diagnostics of chromosomal aberrations and is now well established in functional and comparative 303 basic research.

306 COMPETING INTERESTS

308 There are no competing interests regarding the preparation and submission of this manuscript.
309

310 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have been part of the manuscript preparation and have read and approved the final manuscript."
 313

314 **REFERENCES**

304 305

307

311

Speicher MR, Carter NP. The new cytogenetics: blurring the boundaries with molecular
 biology. Nat Rev Genet [Internet]. 2005;6(10):782–92. Available from:

Comment [u18]: Comet assay is not precisely a cytogenetic technique, there are other such as the micronucleus test more related as a biomarker of chromosomal damage and especific chromosal aberrations assays that must be included into the review

- 317 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16145555
- Gustavsson, I., Rockborn G. Chromosome abnormality in three cases of lymphatic
 leukaemia in cattle. Nature. 1964;203(1):990.
- Dyrendhal, I., Gustavsson I. Sexual functions, semen characteristics and fertility of bulls carrying the 1/29 chromosome translocation. Hereditas. 1979;90(2):281–9.
- Demyda-Peyrás S, Membrillo A, Bugno-Poniewierska M, Pawlina K, Anaya G, Moreno-Millán M. The Use of Molecular and Cytogenetic Methods as a Valuable Tool in the Detection of Chromosomal Abnormalities in Horses: A Case of Sex Chromosome Chimerism in a Spanish Purebred Colt. Cytogenet Genome Res [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2019 Mar 2];141(4):277–83. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23735586
- Jannuzzi L, Villagómez DAF, King WA. Preface. Cytogenet Genome Res [Internet]. 2009
 [cited 2017 Dec 30];126(1–2):5–6. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20016151
- 330 6. Iannuzzi I. G- and R-banded promethaphase karyotypes in cattle (Bos taurus L.).
 331 Chromosom Res. 1996;4(1):448–56.
- Di Berardino D, Burguete I. High resolution RBA-banding comparison between early
 prometaphase chromosomes of cattle (*Bos taurus* L.) and goat (*Capra hircus* L.) at 700
 band level. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2003;83(1–2):130–8.
- 8. El-Bayomi KM, EL-Araby IES, Zaglool AW. Cytogenetic analysis related to some infertility problems in Cattle. Glob Vet. 2011;7(4):323–9.
- Naha BC, Prakesh C, Boro P. Review Article APPLICATION OF CYTOGENETIC
 TECHNIQUES IN LIVESTOCK. Int J Sci Nat. 2016;7(1):30–3.
- Serakinci N, Kølvraa S. Molecular Cytogenetic Applications in Diagnostics and Research :
 An Overview. Fluoresc Situ Hybrid Appl Guid. 2009;3–21.
- 11. Hayes H, Dutrillaux B, Popescu P, Bourgeois C. Chromosome Banding Techniques. In
 2000 [cited 2017 Apr 15]. p. 25–68. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978343 3-642-59711-4_2
- Gall JG and, Pardue ML. . Formation and detection of RNA–DNA hybrid molecules in cytological preparations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1969;63(1):378–383.
- Blagodatskikh KA, Kramarov VM, Barsova E V., Garkovenko A V., Shcherbo DS,
 Shelenkov AA, et al. Improved DOP-PCR (iDOP-PCR): A robust and simple WGA method
 for efficient amplification of low copy number genomic DNA. Kalendar R, editor. PLoS
 One [Internet]. 2017 Sep 11 [cited 2019 Mar 6];12(9):e0184507. Available from:
 https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184507
- Wnuk M, Bugno M, Slota E. Application of primed in situ DNA synthesis (PRINS) with
 telomere human commercial kit in molecular cytogenetics of Equus caballus and Sus scrofa
 scrofa. Folia Histochem Cytobiol. 2008;46(1):85–8.
- Fouquerel E, Parikh D, Opresko P. DNA damage processing at telomeres: The ends justify
 the means. DNA Repair (Amst) [Internet]. 2016;44:159–68. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2016.05.022
- Barnes RP, Fouquerel E, Opresko PL. The impact of oxidative DNA damage and stress on
 telomere homeostasis. Mech Ageing Dev [Internet]. 2019;177(February 2018):37–45.
 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2018.03.013
- 360 17. De Lorenzi L, Morando P, Planas J, Zannotti M, Molteni L, Parma P. Reciprocal

- 361translocations in cattle: frequency estimation. J Anim Breed Genet [Internet]. 2012 Oct362[cited 2019 Mar 6];129(5):409–16. Available from:363http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22963362
- 364 18. Gustavsson I. Cytogenetics, distribution and phenotypic effects of a translocation in
 365 Swedish cattle. Hereditas. 1969;63(1):68–169.
- Avila F, Das PJ, Kutzler M, Owens E, Perelman P, Rubes J, et al. Development and
 Application of Camelid Molecular Cytogenetic Tools. J Hered [Internet]. 2014;105(6):952–
 Available from: http://10.0.4.69/jhered/ess067
- Stomornjak-Vukadin M, Kurtovic-Basic I, Mehinovic L, Konjhodzic R. Combined use of cytogenetic and molecular methods in prenatal diagnostics of chromosomal abnormalities. Acta Inform Med [Internet]. 2015 Apr [cited 2019 Mar 6];23(2):68–72. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26005269
- Zudova D, Rezacova O, Kubickova S, Rubes J. Aneuploidy detection in porcine embryos using fluorescence in situ hybridization. Cytogenet Genome Res [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2017 Dec 30];102(1-4):179-83. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14970699
- Foster HA, Sturmey RG, Stokes PJ, Leese HJ, Bridger JM GD. Fluorescence in situ
 hybridization on early porcine embryos. Methods Mol Biol. 2010;659(1):427–36.
- Mary N, Barasc H, Ferchaud S, Billon Y, Meslier F, Robelin D, et al. Meiotic
 recombination analyses of individual chromosomes in male domestic pigs (Sus scrofa
 domestica). Shi Q, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014 Jun 11 [cited 2017 Dec
 30];9(6):e99123. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24919066
- Perkins AT, Bickel SE. Using Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) to Monitor 388 25. 389 the State of Arm Cohesion in Prometaphase and Metaphase I Drosophila 390 Oocvtes. I Vis Exp [Internet]. 2017;(130). Available from: https://www.jove.com/video/56802/using-fluorescence-situ-hybridization-fish-to-monitor-391 state-arm 392
- King WA. Chromosome variation in the embryos of domestic animals. Cytogenet Genome
 Res [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2017 Dec 30];120(1–2):81–90. Available from:
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467828
- Bugno M, Jabłońska Z, Słota E. Optimalization of fluorescence in situ hybridization conditions in mare oocytes and mouse embryos. Folia Biol (Praha) [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2017 Dec 30];57(1-2):49-55. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19459461
- 400 28. Iannuzzi L, King WA, Di Berardino D. Chromosome evolution in domestic bovids as 401 revealed by chromosome banding and fish-mapping techniques [Internet]. Vol. 126, 402 Cytogenetic and Genome Research. 2009 [cited 2017 Dec 30]. p. 49–62. Available from: 403 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20016156
- 404 29. Issa ÉC, Jorge W, Sereno JRB. Cytogenetic and molecular analysis of the Pantaneiro cattle
 405 breed. Pesqui Agropecu Bras. 2006;41(11):1609–15.
- 406 30. Genualdo V, Spalenza V, Perucatti A, Iannuzzi A, Di Meo GP, Caputi-Jambrenghi A, et al.

- Fluorescence in situ hybridization mapping of six loci containing genes involved in the
 dioxin metabolism of domestic bovids. J Appl Genet. 2011;52(2):229–32.
- 31. Bugno-Poniewierska M, Sołek P, Potocki L, Pawlina K, Wnuk M, Jezewska-Witkowska G,
 et al. Polymorphism of cytogenetic markers in wild and farm red fox (Vulpes vulpes)
 populations. Folia Biol (Praha) [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2017 Dec 30];61(3–4):155–63.
 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24279163
- 32. McPherson MC, Robinson CM, Gehlen LP, Delany ME. Comparative cytogenomics of
 poultry: Mapping of single gene and repeat loci in the Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica).
 Chromosom Res [Internet]. 2014 Apr 7 [cited 2017 Dec 30];22(1):71–83. Available from:
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24604153
- 33. Schmid M, Steinlein C. Chromosome Banding in Amphibia. XXXII. the Genus Xenopus
 (Anura, Pipidae). Cytogenet Genome Res [Internet]. 2015 Jun 25 [cited 2017 Apr 15];145(3–4):201–17. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26112092
- 34. Sessions SK, Stöck M, Vieites DR, Quarles R, Min MS, Wake DB. Cytogenetic analysis of
 the Asian Plethodontid salamander, Karsenia koreana: Evidence for karyotypic
 conservation, chromosome repatterning, and genome size evolution. Chromosom Res.
 2008;16(4):563–74.
- McKinney S, Guerrero-Hernández C, Guo L, Gibson M, Accorsi A, Sivagnanam S, et al.
 An adaptable chromosome preparation methodology for use in invertebrate research organisms. BMC Biol [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Mar 5];16(1):25. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29482548
- 36. Zhao D, Liu S, Guo Z, Li R. [Application of eight-probe fluorescence in situ hybridization and R-banding karyotype analysis for the diagnosis of acute lymphoblastic leukemia].
 Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi [Internet]. 2016 Feb [cited 2019 Mar 13];33(1):9– 12. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26829724
- 432 37. Huang H, Chen J. Chromosome bandings. Methods Mol Biol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019
 433 Mar 13];1541:59–66. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27910014
- 434 38. Lindner LE. Improvements in the silver-staining technique for nucleolar organizer regions
 435 (AgNOR). J Histochem Cytochem. 1993;41(3):439–45.
- 39. Trerè D. AgNOR staining and quantification. Micron [Internet]. 2000 Apr [cited 2019 Aug 22];31(2):127–31. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10588058
- 40. Bukhari MH, Niazi S, Khan SA, Hashmi I, Perveen S, Qureshi SS, et al. Modified method of AgNOR staining for tissue and interpretation in histopathology. Int J Exp Pathol [Internet]. 2007 Feb [cited 2019 Aug 22];88(1):47–53. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17244338
- 41. Zheng J-S, Sun C-Z, Zhang S-N, Hou X-L, Bonnema G. Cytogenetic Diversity of Simple 442 443 Sequences Repeats in Morphotypes of Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis. Front Plant Sci 444 [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Mar 5];7:1049. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27507974 445
- 446 42. Rubes J, Pinton A, Bonnet-Garnier A, Fillon V, Musilova P, Michalova K, et al.
 447 Fluorescence in situ hybridization applied to domestic animal cytogenetics. Cytogenet
 448 Genome Res [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2017 Dec 28];126(1–2):34–48. Available from:
 449 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20016155
- 43. Artigas R, Iriarte A, Tellechea B, Llambí S, De Bethencourt M, Postiglioni A. Aphidicolin
 induces break points in heterozygous Robertsonian translocation rob(1;29) from Uruguayan
 Creole cattle: Brief post. BAG J Basic Appl Genet. 2008;19(1):1–8.

- 453 44. McNeil N, Ried T. Novel molecular cytogenetic techniques for identifying complex
 454 chromosomal rearrangements: technology and applications in molecular medicine. Expert
 455 Rev Mol Med [Internet]. 2000;2000(September 2000):1–14. Available from:
 456 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14585138
- 457 45. Hanada H. Robertsonian translocation and its effect on fertility in Japanese Black Cattle.
 458 Jarq. 1994;28(3):206–11.
- 459 46. Aricò A, Ferraresso S, Bresolin S, Marconato L, Comazzi S, Te Kronnie G, et al. Arraybased comparative genomic hybridization analysis reveals chromosomal copy number
 aberrations associated with clinical outcome in canine diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. PLoS
 One [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2019 Mar 5];9(11):e111817. Available from:
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25372838
- 464 47. Perucatti A, Iannuzzi A, Genualdo V, Incarnato D, Session P, Road M, et al. 21st
 465 International Colloquium on Animal Cytogenetics and Gene Mapping. Chromosom Res
 466 [Internet]. 2014;22(3):393–437. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10577467 014-9435-7
- 468 48. Tobergte DR, Curtis S. Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH). Vol. 53, Journal of
 469 Chemical Information and Modeling. 2013. 1689–1699 p.
- 470 49. Hayes H, Dutrillaux B, Popescu P. Preparation of Chromosome Spreads. In 2000 [cited 2017 Apr 15]. p. 1–24. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-59711-472
 4_1
- 47350.Mateos-Langerak J, Bohn M, de Leeuw W, Giromus O, Manders EMM, Verschure PJ, et474al. Spatially confined folding of chromatin in the interphase nucleus. Proc Natl Acad Sci475[Internet].2009;106(10):3812-7.476http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0809501106
- 477 51. Lawce HJ. Chromosome stains. AGT Cytogenet Lab Man [Internet]. 2017;213–300.
 478 Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9781119061199.ch6
- 479 52. Mcneil N, Montagna C, Difilippantonio MJ, Ried T. Comparative Cancer Cytogenetics.
 480 Cancer. 2010;(Cml):1–22.
- 481 53. Bogdanovska-Todorovska M, Petrushevska G, Janevska V, Spasevska L, Kostadinova482 Kunovska S. Standardization and optimization of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)
 483 for HER-2 assessment in breast cancer: A single center experience. Bosn J basic Med Sci
 484 [Internet]. 2018 May 20 [cited 2019 Mar 5];18(2):132–40. Available from:
 485 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29389309
- 486 54. Kearney L. Multiplex-FISH (M-FISH): technique, developments and applications.
 487 Cytogenet Genome Res. 2006;114:189–198.
- 488 55. Houldsworth J, Chaganti RS. Comparative genomic hybridization: an overview. Am J
 489 Pathol [Internet]. 1994 Dec [cited 2018 Sep 25];145(6):1253–60. Available from: 490 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7992829
- 491 56. Yu W, Ballif BC, Kashork CD, Heilstedt HA, Howard LA, Cai WW, et al. Development of
 492 a comparative genomic hybridization microarray and demonstration of its utility with 25
 493 well-characterized 1p36 deletions. Hum Mol Genet. 2003;12(17):2145–52.
- 494 57. Albertson DG, Pinkel D. Comparative genomic hybridization. Mol Oncol Causes Cancer
 495 Targets Treat. 2015;21–7.
- Kowalska A, Bozsaky E, Ambros PF. Sequence-Based High Resolution Chromosomal
 Comparative Genomic Hybridization (CGH). In 2010 [cited 2017 Apr 15]. p. 299–312.
 Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-60761-789-1_23

- 59. Shemetun O V., Talan OA, Demchenko OM, Kurinnyi DA, Papuga MS, Pilinska MA.
 Frequency of Spontaneous and Radiation-Induced Chromosomal Aberrations in Peripheral
 Blood Lymphocytes of Individuals of Different Ages. Cytol Genet [Internet].
 2018;52(6):461–6. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.3103/S0095452718060117
- 503 60. Udroiu I, Sgura A. Cytogenetic tests for animal production: state of the art and 504 perspectives. Anim Genet. 2017;48(5):505–15.
- 61. Gomes NM V., Ryder OA, Houck ML, Charter SJ, Walker W, Forsyth NR, et al.
 Comparative biology of mammalian telomeres: hypotheses on ancestral states and the roles of telomeres in longevity determination. Aging Cell [Internet]. 2011 Oct [cited 2019 Mar 4];10(5):761–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21518243
- Alexander P. Sobinoff and Hilda A. Pickett. Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres: DNA
 Repair Pathways Converge. Trends Genet [Internet]. 2017;33(12):921–32. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2017.09.003
- 512 63. Bateson M. Cumulative stress in research animals: Telomere attrition as a biomarker in a
 513 welfare context? BioEssays. 2016;38(2):201–12.
- 514 64. Yamakawa RH, Saito PK, Gelmini GF, da Silva JS, Bicalho M da G, Borelli SD. MICA
 515 diversity and linkage disequilibrium with HLA-B alleles in renal-transplant candidates in
 516 southern Brazil. Song Q, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 Apr 18 [cited 2017 May
 517 21];12(4):e0176072. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28419176
- 518 65. Von Zglinicki T. Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. Trends Biochem Sci. 519 2002;27(7):339–44.
- 520 66. Jenkins FJ, Kerr CM, Fouquerel E, Bovbjerg DH, Opresko PL. Modified Terminal
 521 Restriction Fragment Analysis for Quantifying Telomere Length Using In-gel
 522 Hybridization. J Vis Exp [Internet]. 2017 Jul 10 [cited 2019 Aug 22];(125). Available from:
 523 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28715381
- Aubert G, Hills M, Lansdorp PM. Telomere length measurement—Caveats and a critical assessment of the available technologies and tools. Mutat Res Mol Mech Mutagen [Internet]. 2012 Feb 1 [cited 2019 Aug 22];730(1–2):59–67. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0027510711000868?via%3Dihub
- 528 68. Vozdova M., Ruiz-Herrera A., Fernandez J., Cernohorska H., Frohlich J., Sebestova H.
 529 KS& RJ. Meiotic behaviour of evolutionary sex-autosome translocations in Bovidae.
 530 Chromosom Res. 2016;24:325 –38.
- 69. Rothkamm K., Barnard S., Moquet J., Ellender M. RZ& B-RS. DNA damage foci: meaning
 and significance. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2015;56:491–504.
- 70. Masuda Y, Takahashi H, Sato S, Tomomori-Sato C, Saraf A, Washburn MP, et al. TRIM29
 regulates the assembly of DNA repair proteins into damaged chromatin. Nat Commun
 [Internet]. 2015;6:1–13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8299
- 536 71. Berardinelli F, di Masi A, Salvatore M, Banerjee S, Myung K, De Villartay JP, et al. A case
 537 report of a patient with microcephaly, facial dysmorphism, chromosomal radiosensitivity
 538 and telomere length alterations closely resembling "Nijmegen breakage syndrome"
 539 phenotype. Eur J Med Genet. 2007;50(3):176–87.
- 540 72. Glei M. ST& SW. Comet assay: an essential tool in toxicological research. Arch Toxicol.
 541 2016;90:2315–36.
- Tice, R. R. Hartmann A, Sasaki YF, Rojas E, Anderson D, Miyamae Y, Agurell E, et al.
 Single cell gel/comet assay: Guidelines for in vitro and in vivo genetic toxicology testing.
 Environ Mol Mutagen. 2000;35(3):206–21.

- 545 74. Gafter-Gvili A, Zingerman B, Rozen-Zvi B, Ori Y, Green H, Lubin I, et al. Oxidative
 546 Stress-Induced DNA Damage and Repair in Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells:
 547 Protective Role of Hemoglobin. Maga G, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2013 Jul 9 [cited
 548 2019 Mar 5];8(7):e68341. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874593
- 549 75. Picco S.J., Abba M.C., Mattioli G.A., Fazzio L.E., Rosa D. DLJC& DFN. Association
 550 between copper deficiency and DNA damage in cattle. Mutagenesis. 2004;19:453–6.
- 551 76. Di Meo G.P., Perucatti A., Genualdo V., Caputi-Jambrenghi A., Rasero R. NC& IL.
 552 Chromosome fragility in dairy cows exposed to dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs.
 553 Mutagenesis. 2011;26:269–72.
- Picco S, Ponzzinibio MV, Mattioli G, Rosa D, Minatel L, Fazzio L, et al. Physiological and
 genotoxic effects of molybdenum-induced copper deficiency in cattle. Agrociencia.
 2012;46(2):107–17.
- 557 78. Iannuzzi L, Perucatti A, Di Meo GP, Polimeno F, Ciotola F, Incarnato D, et al.
 558 Chromosome fragility in two sheep flocks exposed to dioxins during pasturage.
 559 Mutagenesis. 2004;19(5):355–9.
- 560 79. David J. Marlin, Lucy Johnson, Demelza A. Kingston, Nicola C. Smith, Chris M. Deaton,
 561 Sarah Mann, Paul Heaton, y Fenneke Van Vugt, Kelly Saunders, Julia Kydd and PAH.
 562 Application of the Comet assay for investigation of oxidative DNA damage in equine
 563 peripheral blood mononuclear cells. J Nutr. 2004;134(8):2072S-2080S.

564