SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48753
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovative extension approach for sustainable agricultural development: WhatsApp groups for farming solution
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract -Reorganize your abstract to capture the glimpse/summary of the followina a) Purpose of the study b) Objective(s) of the study c)Findings of the study d) recommendation(s)/Conclusion/implication(s) of the findings of the study Introduction a) Correct the grammatical errors b) Massive lack of citations in some paragraphs thus rendering the information a heresy(refer to the manuscript) c) Provide your introduction with global perspective of the phenomenon narrowing down to India Scope of the Study -The scope of the study is not coming out clearly ie whether the scope of the study is in India as a country or a district in India Research Design - Research design is lacking - Clearly indicate whether this was a desktop research that involved the review of literature on the research problem/ If not the identify the population of the study, sampling technique, types of respondents and the sample size. Conclusion - Should be summarized based on findings of the study. Citation in this section may not be necessary. References - Was satisfactory	
Minor REVISION comments	May include the following in the study - Statement of the problem - Recommendations of the study	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph O. Mukolwe
Department, University & Country	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science & Technology, Kenya

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)