



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_51128
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of alcoholic extracts of <i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> upon the control of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> in vitro and upon the post-harvest quality of guavas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Methodology: The extraction method deserves to be better specified: How do you proceed to rid the extract of ethanol or methanol. Because both solvents are known to have an effect on the pathogen.</p> <p>Results: The values in the analysis should be expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. What explanation do the authors give to this finding "the lowest sporulation levels were observed in the highest concentrations of extract"?</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>I am very happy for evaluating study regarding health. Authors obtained valuable results that the search for alternatives for the control of post-harvest diseases. Manuscript is well-designed, well-equipped with information, fluent and deducible. Calculations are true and very interesting. Figures and References are enough for this study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N'DO Jotham Yhi-pênê
Department, University & Country	University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Burkina Faso