



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50218
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PROXIMATE, ANTIOXIDANT VITAMINS AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF FOUR SELECTED TROPICAL NUTRITIONAL/ MEDICINAL PLANTS NAMELY: Ocimum gratissimum, Piper guineense, Gongronema latifolium and Vernonia amygdalina.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The reviewer found the method to be brief with direct citation to reference provided. Normally authors expected to provide more information rather than done here especially if any modifications done or for example more than one type of vitamin E is known to be present in nature. Readers not expected to be troubled to search for method to understand the journal when published. If so readership of your article will not be aplenty. Reviewer also cannot accept the results given without the exact values in text other than merely figuratively given. Values of your results important for readers to compare with their work and perform citation. Also expected you to also compare your values with other journals with similar work here if any.	
Minor REVISION comments	Many typo, grammar and other minor errors found and given in reviewed manuscript for action.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaya Vejayan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Gambang Campus, Malaysia