



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_51190
Title of the Manuscript:	Pasting Properties of Flour Blends from Water yam, Yellow maize and African yam bean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write all the scientific species name in italics 2. In the introduction part mention the pasting properties definition and purpose 3. manufacture of value-added produce such as noodles- Prodcut 4. references in the text not in a uniform order (et al., <i>et al.</i>,) 5. Purpose of doing peak viscosity, trough viscosity, break down viscosity, final viscosity, and setback viscosity (included in the text to understand the lay person) 6. What are the statisticl tools used in this study write in in the methodology section 7. Figures of product may improve the quality of the manuscript 8. Storage ability? 9. Discussed with some recent references- all the references quoted by the authors are before 2014 10. Improve the conclusion part (highlight your findings) 11. Check the typical errors throughout the manuscript 12. References 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is good. But rationale and flow of information need to improve. After the revision the paper may accepted for the publication	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>K. Immaculate Jeyasanta</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Suganthi Devadason Marine Research Institute, Tuticorin, India</i>