



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_51270
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic assessment of Napier grass production using different fertiliser combinations under smallholder farming conditions in the Central Highlands of Kenya
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Consider the comment given. You should talk about the issue of labour, cost of kg or different fertilizers, sale per kg of napier, harvest after how long. It is from them that the costs were got, they are not properly covered in the result. We see the effect clearly but the other parts are hidden, yield per hecter/kg for napier, total costs for the different treatment	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Read through the work once again to improve it.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Acaye Genesis</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>