



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_51289
Title of the Manuscript:	Sarcomatoid variant of Chromophobic Renal Cell Carcinoma and outlining of targeted therapy in it
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In relation to paper' s title, I would suggest to the authors to analyse the most relevant molecular target literally described in the paper (VHL, CDKN2A, KRAS, BRAF) to correlate morphological and molecular features for the patient.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please, could the authors review grammar and language? Please, could the authors describe if in literature other evidences of CRCC are morphological described? Are there any differences?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesco Pepe
Department, University & Country	University of study Federico II, Naples, Italy