

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_51289
Title of the Manuscript:	Sarcomatoid variant of Chromophobic Renal Cell Carcinoma and outlining of targeted therapy in it
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting case report well described by the authors, who also provided a detailed and extensive discussion. I suggest the authors to change the title, and make it more "appealing". I indicated typos and other corrections in the text under track changes mode.	
Minor REVISION comments	Full name of acronyms should be added at the first appearance.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesca Gorini
Department, University & Country	Italy

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her