



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_51212
Title of the Manuscript:	Properties of Gypsum Based Boards Made From Mixtures of Wood and Rice straw
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(a) Is the subject matter appropriate for the Journal? Yes (b) Is the title reflects the contents adequately? Yes (c) Is the abstract informative? Yes but the it is too long, kindly shorten it. (d) Is there any data which might be omitted? No (e) Any apparent errors of fact or logic? No (f) Any apparent lack of clarity? No (g) Is the conclusions sound and justified? Yes (h) Is appropriate reference to previous work given? Yes (i) Is the quality of the presentation adequate? Yes (j) Is the work contains new and original contributions? Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript studies on the properties of gypsum based boards made from mixtures of wood and rice straw. The authors give moderate introduction regarding the composite panels. From the results of manuscript, it can be observed that the rice straw in wood chip/gypsum mixture helps to improve the insulation properties of the composites, but not their strength properties. On the other hand, the addition of rice straw to wood/gypsum mixture effects on extending hardening time.	
Optional/General comments	I would be glad to recommend the manuscript for publication, however the abstract is too long, which should be shortened as research article not as review article. Moreover, there are a lot of spelling and grammatical errors found in the manuscript, which should be corrected with the help of native English speaker.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Adlie Shamsuri
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia