SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_51018**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LIFECYLE EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL BENEFITS AND BIOSAFETY OF SNAIL Archachatina marginata (Swainson, 1821) (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Achatinidae)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The statement in line 12-14 is too long, break it.** **This statement in line 51 is not clear, '**snail does not only to bridge the wide' In my opinion, the introduction should also contain a brief information about the microbiology of snails, pathogens present and the harmful effects of consuming improperly washed or processed snails on humans. Perhaps, a paragraph should be okay for this. You could digest my paper on *Achatina achatina.* **'Onifade, A.K. and Aiyenuro, E.A. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of Microorganisms Isolated from the Intestine and Body Parts of the African Giant Land Snails (Achatina achatina) sold in Akure, Nigeria. Journal of Advances in Microbiology. 9(4): 1-8. 2018.'** Were your snails sourced from collectors only? If yes, I think you should have obtained some from the forest or farm land yourself, this will ensure you get an unadulterated result… What time of the day did you get them? Line 79,, it should be $HClO_3$ Please describe how the control was done in line 84 With up to date references, describe the procedure for line 94 – 97 75% of the references used in your discussion are too old for the scope of your work. Once again, you could visit my article highlighted above for up to date referencing. Your references need a major restructuring. The scientific name should be in italics. Volume and issue numbers should be clearly indicated. Kindly use up to date references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Aiyenuro Ademola***
Department, University & Country	***Federal University of Technology, Nigeria***