



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_51328
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical and chemical parameters of “cagaita” (Eugenia dysenterica) at different maturity stages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Overall, this paper is a good paper with good findings. 2- But, this study is quite outdated in which the experiment was done in 2014. Therefore, to make this paper relevant, authors should add recent relevant literature studies to support the findings. 3- For section no 2. Material and Methods and section no 3 : Results and Discussion : It would be better if the discussions are divided into few sub-sections to guide the reader to understand the whole discussions. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sazelin Arif
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia